**JYD PEER REVIEW FORM**

(Updated August 2023)

Thank you for agreeing to be a peer reviewer! We greatly appreciate your contribution of time and expertise to this important review process.

Please provide a general review of the article with these six (6) criteria in mind:

* Significance of topic
* Theoretical foundation
* Methodological rigor
* Coherence of writing
* Applications for practice
* I.D.E.A.S. Framework (Inclusivity, Diversity, Equity, Access, and the Supports needed)

Then, please rate each of the criteria on the provided scales.

1. **Significance of Topic.**Articles should address topics that are relevant for and important to JYD readers. They should address key issues of youth development practice and/or research.

[ ]  Highly insignificant

[ ]  Somewhat insignificant

[ ]  Somewhat significant

[ ]  Highly significant

1. **Theoretical Foundation.** Research questions should be theory-predicated, and discussion of findings should advance theory.

[ ]  Very weak theoretical framing

[ ]  Somewhat weak theoretical framing

[ ]  Somewhat strong theoretical framing

[ ]  Very strong theoretical framing

1. **Methodological Rigor:**Articles shouldmeet high standards of methodological rigor, using credible valid, and reliable methods that align with theory-predicated research questions.

[ ]  Very careless methods

[ ]  Somewhat careless methods

[ ]  Somewhat rigorous methods

[ ]  Very rigorous methods

1. **Coherence of Writing.**Articles should be clear, organized and well-developed. They should make sense, be well written, and easy for JYD readers to understand.

[ ]  Very incoherent

[ ]  Somewhat incoherent

[ ]  Somewhat coherent

[ ]  Very coherent

1. **Applications for Practice**. Articles should have clear implications for practice that are useful, realistic, and relevant for practitioners’ consideration.

[ ]  Irrelevant or not useful for practice

[ ]  Somewhat irrelevant or not useful for practice

[ ]  Somewhat relevant or useful for practice

[ ]  Very relevant and useful for practice

1. **I.D.E.A.S. Framework Questions.** (Visit <https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/jyd/ideas_overview.pdf> for more details about the I.D.E.A.S. framework)

**Does the manuscript:** (Check all that apply)

[ ]  Show consideration for principles of reducing bias

[ ]  Show consideration for race and ethnicity

[ ]  Show consideration for inclusive language

[ ]  Show consideration for sex and gender

[ ]  Show consideration for DEI topics as keywords

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Recommendation. A**cross the review criteria, what overall assessment would you have for this article?

[ ]  **Accept submission** - this manuscript should be published as submitted

[ ]  **Accept submission with minor revisions** - this manuscript should be accepted with minor revisions

[ ]  **Minor revisions required for acceptance** - manuscript may be accepted pending minor revisions. Another review may be required

[ ]  **Major revisions required for acceptance** - manuscript may be accepted pending major revisions. Another review may be required

[ ]  Reject Submission: manuscript not accepted for publication

**General Comments.**Please consider the six criteria as you offer your overall comments to the authors and the editors on the strengths and weaknesses of the article and specific recommendations for improvement.