**JYD PEER REVIEW FORM**

(Updated August 2023)

Thank you for agreeing to be a peer reviewer! We greatly appreciate your contribution of time and expertise to this important review process.

Please provide a general review of the article with these six (6) criteria in mind:

* Significance of topic
* Theoretical foundation
* Methodological rigor
* Coherence of writing
* Applications for practice
* I.D.E.A.S. Framework (Inclusivity, Diversity, Equity, Access, and the Supports needed)

Then, please rate each of the criteria on the provided scales.

1. **Significance of Topic.**Articles should address topics that are relevant for and important to JYD readers. They should address key issues of youth development practice and/or research.

Highly insignificant

Somewhat insignificant

Somewhat significant

Highly significant

1. **Theoretical Foundation.** Research questions should be theory-predicated, and discussion of findings should advance theory.

Very weak theoretical framing

Somewhat weak theoretical framing

Somewhat strong theoretical framing

Very strong theoretical framing

1. **Methodological Rigor:**Articles shouldmeet high standards of methodological rigor, using credible valid, and reliable methods that align with theory-predicated research questions.

Very careless methods

Somewhat careless methods

Somewhat rigorous methods

Very rigorous methods

1. **Coherence of Writing.**Articles should be clear, organized and well-developed. They should make sense, be well written, and easy for JYD readers to understand.

Very incoherent

Somewhat incoherent

Somewhat coherent

Very coherent

1. **Applications for Practice**. Articles should have clear implications for practice that are useful, realistic, and relevant for practitioners’ consideration.

Irrelevant or not useful for practice

Somewhat irrelevant or not useful for practice

Somewhat relevant or useful for practice

Very relevant and useful for practice

1. **I.D.E.A.S. Framework Questions.** (Visit <https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/jyd/ideas_overview.pdf> for more details about the I.D.E.A.S. framework)

**Does the manuscript:** (Check all that apply)

Show consideration for principles of reducing bias

Show consideration for race and ethnicity

Show consideration for inclusive language

Show consideration for sex and gender

Show consideration for DEI topics as keywords

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Recommendation. A**cross the review criteria, what overall assessment would you have for this article?

**Accept submission** - this manuscript should be published as submitted

**Accept submission with minor revisions** - this manuscript should be accepted with minor revisions

**Minor revisions required for acceptance** - manuscript may be accepted pending minor revisions. Another review may be required

**Major revisions required for acceptance** - manuscript may be accepted pending major revisions. Another review may be required

Reject Submission: manuscript not accepted for publication

**General Comments.**Please consider the six criteria as you offer your overall comments to the authors and the editors on the strengths and weaknesses of the article and specific recommendations for improvement.