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Minutes of the thirty-first meeting - June 10, 1958

The thirty-first meeting of the Faculty Senate was held June 10, 1958 at 4 p.m. in the auditorium of Olin Hall. President Langston presiding.

In the interim before a quorum was assembled, President Langston discussed three areas in which the Senate may wish to initiate formal studies, namely:

1. Reduced tuition plan for children of Clemson faculty members,
2. Salary scale at Clemson, and
3. Changing of the summer school program.

There being a quorum assembled at 4:15 p.m., the meeting was declared in order.

The minutes of the thirtieth meeting were approved.

President Langston announced the appointment of Professor C. E. Littlejohn to serve with Professors B. E. Goodale, Chairman, J. D. Lane, and J. H. Langston on the committee formulated to study the wishes of the faculty in regards to the selection of the next president of the institution. President Langston also announced the appointment of Professor J. C. Hubbard to serve with Professor E. C. Coker on the ad hoc committee to study provisions for medical services to employees.

President Langston recognized Professor Thurston who presented the policy governing outside work by full-time faculty members as proposed by the Welfare Committee. After considerable discussion Professor Goodale moved that the policy be adopted with item 3 omitted. The motion carried.

President Langston recognized Professor Bair who had been invited to present to the Senate information regarding the proposed faculty scholarship program. Professor Bair stated that after Dr. Poole's untimely death, donations were received from alumni, students, and various clubs to supplement the funds previously raised among the faculty. Professor Bair further stated that after discussions with various college officials, alumni, and faculty members, it was agreed that all moneys contributed for this
cause would be pooled into a common fund to sponsor a scholastic award to be known as the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship. It was further agreed by all concerned that the criteria for awarding this scholarship would be determined by the Faculty Senate. Professor Reed moved that the Senate accept the responsibility for establishing the criteria for this scholarship. The motion carried. At this point Professor Lane was recognized to present a plan to raise money among the alumni and faculty to endow this scholarship. Professor Macaulay moved that Professor Lane's fund-raising plan be approved and recommended to the Director of Alumni Affairs for immediate action. The motion carried. A copy of Professor Lane's plan is attached to these minutes. Professor Goodale moved that the monetary value of the scholarship be set at $600 annually for the initial award in September, 1959. The motion carried. President Langston stated that the criteria for this scholarship would be handled by the Committee on Committees of the Senate.

Professor E. A. Gunnin was elected unanimously to represent the School of Architecture on the Committee on Committees.

Professor Cooper moved that the Senate commend the immediate past Senate officers for their splendid leadership and guidance during the academic year of 1957-58, and that the present secretary be directed to write each a letter complimenting them upon their excellent administration. The motion carried. JLE

The meeting was adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
Faculty Senate of Clemson College
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Proposed Policy Governing Outside Work by Full-time Faculty Members

Each full-time faculty member at Clemson accepts such employment with the understanding that his primary responsibility is to the college as far as work is concerned. A full-time appointment normally involves the obligation to perform an average of from 40 to 45 hours of work per week for the college. Many occasions arise, however, when it may be mutually beneficial to the college and the faculty members for the latter to accept additional part-time employment on or off the campus. The purpose of this policy statement is to set up a series of principles which will guide full-time faculty members and their supervisors when cases of this nature arise.

1. **Outside employment must never be permitted to interfere with a faculty member's primary responsibility to the college, or to conflict with his college duties.**

2. **Any outside work may be undertaken only with the clear understanding that the college is in no way involved, directly or by implication, such as the use of college letterheads or academic titles.**

3. **Fees charged for professional and technical services should be set in accordance with professional ethics and established customs governing similar work by others in the same locality.**

4. **Details as to compensation of the college for use of laboratory or other facilities should be worked out by the person involved and his immediate supervisor in accordance with the policies established by the college administration.**
Proposed Policy Governing Outside Work

by Full-time Faculty Members

5. Any outside work of more than a casual nature, including self-employment, for which compensation of some type is received, should be brought to the attention of the faculty member's immediate supervisor. Ordinarily the supervisor should approve the acceptance of outside employment if he is certain that it will meet the requirements listed herein.

6. In the event that a faculty member's immediate supervisor does not approve his request to do outside work, the faculty member shall have the opportunity to present his request to the higher levels of administration.

7. Each supervisor is expected to see that faculty members under his jurisdiction adhere to the principles outlined above.
The Clemson College faculty challenges the approximately 13,000 alumni to a plan to raise approximately $300,000 for an endowment fund to furnish academic scholarships to outstanding students in the curricula of their choice. The endowment fund to be established as a result of this plan is to be known as the R. F. Poole Memorial Endowment.

This plan proposes that the percentage of the faculty who contribute to the fund (not to exceed $5.00 per year per faculty member) will equal, or exceed, the percentage of the alumni who contribute a like amount per member. If, for example, only 60% of the 13,000 graduates contribute the first year, the faculty will guarantee 60% participation among its members. The number of years in which the plan is to be in operation is to be determined by mutual consent of the faculty and alumni.
July 7, 1958

Professor B. E. Goodale
113 P&AS Building

Dear Ben:

At its June meeting the Faculty Senate adopted a resolution commend­
ing the immediate past Senate officers for their splendid leadership
and guidance during the academic year 1957-58.

I realize that, as a present member of the Senate, you are aware
of this resolution, but I want to take this opportunity to express to you
in writing the appreciation which the Senate members have for your fine
administration during the past year. Your careful and judicious handling
of the many topics which arose was an inspiration to all who served with
you. To those who will serve with you during the forthcoming year, it is
a pleasure to realize that your wise counsel will be available as a steady­
ing influence upon the Senate during its deliberations.

Cordially yours,

J. L. Edwards
Secretary, The Faculty Senate

JLE:g

cc: Dr. J. H. Langston
July 7, 1958

Dr. C. W. Bolen
115 Hardin Hall

Dear Waldron:

The members of the Senate have asked me to notify you that the Faculty Senate at its June meeting adopted a resolution commending the immediate past Senate officers for their splendid leadership and guidance during the academic year 1957-58.

The Senate members are indebted to you for the capable part which you played in directing the activities of that organization during the past year.

Cordially yours,

J. L. Edwards
Secretary, The Faculty Senate

JLE:g

cc: Dr. J. H. Langston
July 7, 1958

Dr. R. W. Moorman
3 Dairy Building

Dear Bob:

The members of the Senate have asked me to notify you that the Faculty Senate at its June meeting adopted a resolution commend­
ing the immediate past Senate officers for their splendid leadership and guidance during the academic year 1957-58.

Your careful and prompt handling of the many details associated with the secretaryship resulted in a smoother-functioning organization. The Senate members are deeply grateful to you for the capable part which you played in the Senate administration of the past year.

Cordially yours,

J. L. Edwards
Secretary, The Faculty Senate

JLE: g

cc: Dr. J. H. Langston
Minutes of the thirty-second meeting - July 8, 1958, Room 200
Olin Hall

The thirty-second meeting of the Faculty Senate was held July 8, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin. President Langston presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-first meeting were approved as corrected by the secretary.

President Langston officially welcomed the Senators from the newly-formed School of Architecture. He then stated that Professors Bair, Edwards, Gunnin, Stepp, and Thompson, with Professor Bair as chairman, constituted the committee to establish the criteria for the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship.

Professor Bair was then recognized and he stated that the moneys collected for academic scholarships totaled approximately $1600. He also stated that no funds were being solicited at the present time. There followed a general discussion regarding ways and means of improving both the academic scholarship program and alumni-faculty relations. Professor Felder moved that the Senate send a statement to Dean Kinard recommending that immediate publicity be given regarding the existence of the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship Fund. The motion carried.

Professor Langston read a letter from Mr. John S. O'Brien, Jr., a student, regarding the formation of student political clubs. This letter was received as information.

The chair then recognized Dean Williams of the Graduate School, who, as faculty representative on the committee formed by the Board of Trustees to select a new president of Clemson College, reported informally on the work of this committee.

President Langston then recognized Professor Goodale, chairman of the ad hoc committee studying faculty requirements for the new president of the institution. He reported that faculty opinion in each school was being compiled into a report from each school, and that from these composites, the committee would write its final report for submission to the Senate.

President Langston then called for suggestions from the floor for topics of possible study by the Senate. Professor Bair suggested the following: (1) improved liaison between the alumni association and the faculty, and (2) a method of obtaining money for academic scholarships from the bookstore. Dean Williams then suggested that the Senate reconsider the policy regarding admission of professional librarians to the academic faculty.
Minutes - Faculty Senate
July 8, 1958

The meeting adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
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Dean F. M. Kinard  
Clemson College  
Clemson, South Carolina

Dear Dean Kinard:

The Faculty Senate adopted at its July meeting a resolution recommending that a statement be forwarded to your office requesting immediate publicity to be given regarding the existence of the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship Fund.

The matter of academic scholarships as originated by Drs. Bair and Lambert was to provide scholarships from funds raised within the academic faculty. The untimely death of Dr. Poole resulted in contributions from alumni, students, clubs, and others. These moneys, together with those contributed by the faculty, have been combined into a single scholarship fund in memory of Dr. Poole. Since his death, however, the existence of this fund has been suppressed, and the Senate feels that to publicize it in the newspapers of this area would serve two worthwhile purposes. These are as follows: (1) it would give needed information to the many friends of Dr. Poole who may wish to contribute to a scholarship fund in his memory, but who at the present are not aware of the existence of such a fund, and (2) it would inform the public of the interest among the Clemson faculty regarding academic scholarships.

The Senate as an organization has no authority to publicize a matter of this type. For this reason the members of the Senate sincerely hope that you will lend the assistance of your office in securing this needed publicity, for without it the fund will not reach its full potential.

Sincerely yours,

James L. Edwards  
Secretary, The Faculty Senate

JLE:g  
cc: Dr. J. H. Langston
Dr. James H. Langston
President, Faculty Senate
Clemson Agricultural College
Clemson, South Carolina

June 23, 1958

Dear Dr. Langston:

I am writing to you as President of the Faculty Senate for next year in behalf of a group of students who have a request to make of that body. We are most interested in a cause which we feel the members of the Faculty Senate would share with us.

We feel that there is a definite lack of interest at Clemson in the political affairs of our state and nation. We further feel that if Clemson Men are to take their part in the political affairs of their state in the future, a certain interest in this field should be stimulated on the campus at the present time. It is our opinion that this interest could best be created by the establishment of a Young Republican and a Young Democrat Club on the campus. We have received permission from the Dean of Student Affairs and the Director of Clubs to organize these clubs if both are organized simultaneously.

The particular club that I am interested in is the Young Republican Club as I am at present Collegiate Chairman of the Young Republicans in South Carolina. We have acquired a faculty sponsor, Mr. Robert E. Ware of the Zoology Department, have drawn up a constitution and by-laws, and have elected officers. We have not been given our charter by the school because the Young Democrats have been unable to organize due to their lack of a faculty advisor. This is the request that we have to make of the Faculty Senate; that you find a faculty member who would be willing to act in this capacity.

As we have previously stated we feel that the interest created by these clubs would benefit the student body immensely. We would especially appreciate it if the Faculty Senate would be able to get the advisor this summer so that both clubs will be able to organize and function fully at the beginning of the school year.

Thanking you in advance for your assistance, I remain

Yours truly,

John S. O'Brien, Jr.
Class of '60
Mr. John S. O'Brien, Jr.
Box 44
Pawley's Island, South Carolina

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

I was quite interested in your letter of June 23rd, requesting that the Clemson Faculty Senate find a faculty advisor for the projected Young Democrat Club on the campus. I have waited to answer your letter until after the meeting of the Senate yesterday. In the meantime, I have talked with Dean Cox on the matter.

Although the Senate is interested in your project, it is our opinion supported by information from other sources that your request does not come within our province of action. Such matters are delegated to the Dean of Student Affairs and the Director of Clubs; the Faculty Senate has no jurisdiction in this field.

If I might make one comment -- I believe that any agency you approach with this request will hesitate to act on it in the absence of a direct petition from the group most concerned, i.e., the Young Democrat Club. Otherwise, such agency would find itself in a potentially embarrassing and untenable position. I suggest that you encourage the Young Democrats to find their own advisor; it should not be very difficult.

Sincerely yours,

J. H. Langston, President
Faculty Senate

JHL:cf
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Thirty-third meeting - August 12, 1958

The thirty-third meeting of the Faculty Senate was held August 12, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Langston presiding.

In the interim before a quorum assembled, President Langston made several statements of general interest to the Senate, namely:

(1) that the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship Fund had been divorced from the Alumni Association and established as an account in the Treasurer's office,

(2) that he had received a letter from Dean Williams regarding admission of professional librarians to the academic faculty, and

(3) that the Research Council still desires to affiliate with the Faculty Senate.

President Langston then recognized Professor Goodale who presented the ad hoc committee's report of the major qualifications for the next president of Clemson as desired by the teaching and research staffs. Following an informal discussion of this report, the secretary read the minutes of the thirty-second meeting.

There being a quorum assembled at 5:02 p.m., the meeting was declared in order.

The minutes of the thirty-second meeting were approved.

Professor Schirmer moved that formal discussion of the report presented by Professor Goodale be postponed until the September meeting. The motion carried.

President Langston then tendered his resignation as a member and president of the Senate. There followed an election in which Professor Schirmer was unanimously elected as president of the organization.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:07 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
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Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Thirty-fourth Meeting - September 16, 1958

The thirty-fourth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held September 16, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-third meeting were read and approved.

President Schirmer referred to the action of the Faculty Senate on March 11, 1958 in defeating a motion that Mr. J. W. G. Gourlay be recommended for election to the Academic Faculty. He stated that this action had not been reported to the Academic Faculty at its June meeting as required in the constitution and requested instructions from the Senate as to its wishes in this matter. Professor Walters moved that the action of the Senate on March 11, 1958 regarding this motion be reported to the Academic Faculty at its next regular meeting. The motion carried.

The chair then recognized Professor Goodale who presented the ad hoc committee's report of the major qualifications for the next president of Clemson as desired by the teaching and research staffs. Professor Lane moved that Item 6 of the report be discussed. The motion was defeated. Professor Hind moved that each item in the report be discussed in order. The motion carried. Professor Macaulay moved that Items 3 and 4 be reversed in order. The motion was defeated. Professor Macaulay moved that Item 6 be deleted. The motion was defeated. Professor Miller moved that the lower age preference in Item 11 be changed to thirty-five (35) years. The motion carried. Professor Robinson moved that the report be rewritten and brought back to the Senate for final consideration before being submitted to Dean Williams, the faculty representative appointed to assist the Board of Trustees in the selection of the next president. The motion was defeated. Professor Walters moved that the report be submitted to Dean Williams as written by the committee and passed by the Senate. Professor Young moved as a substitute motion that a letter be forwarded to Dean Williams endorsing the report as submitted by the ad hoc committee and passed by the Senate and stating that the Senate wishes the qualifications as listed to be considered equally and collectively with no order of preference being assigned to any single item. The motion passed. A copy of this report is attached to these minutes.

The chair then introduced Professor Wray, newly elected member from the School of Textiles.

President Schirmer then called for new business. Professor Whitney moved that Mr. J. W. G. Gourlay be recommended for election to membership in the Academic Faculty.

Professor Hind moved as a substitute motion that professional librarians be recommended for election to membership in the Academic Faculty as a matter of policy. Professor Byars moved to table the substitute motion.
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The motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:29 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
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September 24, 1958

Dr. Jack K. Williams
Dean of the Graduate School

Dear Jack:

At its September meeting the Faculty Senate endorsed, with one exception, the ad hoc committee's report of the major qualifications for the next president of Clemson, and directed that I notify you of this action. The exception was that in item eleven (11) the age limit be lowered to thirty-five (35).

In endorsing this report the Senate wishes it known that it places no order of preference on the qualifications as listed, but feels that they should be considered both collectively and equally.

Sincerely yours,

James L. Edwards
Secretary, The Faculty Senate

JLE: g
Major Qualifications Desired for Clemson's New President
By the Teaching and Research Staffs as Endorsed by the
Faculty Senate

1. Administrative experience and ability
2. Earned Doctorate
3. Forceful speaker
4. Academic background
5. High moral standards
6. Highly desirable that he have a scientific background
7. Have level headed appreciation of southern conditions
8. Pleasing personality
9. Dynamic leader who will set demanding standards and see that they are met
10. Not necessarily a Clemson graduate
11. Age preference - 35-50 years
12. Married to a woman who is charming and gracious and able to carry out satisfactorily the social demands of her position as wife of the President.
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Thirty-fifth Meeting - October 14, 1958

The thirty-fifth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held October 14, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-fourth meeting were read and approved.

President Schirmer read as information a letter from Mr. R. C. Edward•, Acting President of the college, commending the Senate for its close cooperation with his office. President Schirmer announced his intention of conferring with the officers and committee chairman prior to the regular meetings of the Senate in order to establish the agenda for these scheduled meetings. He stated that at such a conference in October the following topics had been assigned to the committees as listed for study. These topics were as follows: (1) the problem of faculty recreation to the Welfare Committee, (2) the matter of cooperation with the Research Council to the Policy Committee, (3) the study of a revised summer school program to the Admissions & Scholarship Committee, (4) the study of a revised student evaluation form to the Admissions & Scholarship Committee, and (5) the question of honorary degrees to the Policy Committee for reconsideration if it so desires.

Under the heading of old business, President Schirmer raised the question of the motion which was tabled at the September 16, 1958 meeting, namely, that professional librarians be recommended for election to the Academic Faculty as a matter of policy. He stated that there were three courses of action open to the Senate, namely, (1) it could consider that failure by the Senate to report its action of March 11, 1958 to the Academic Faculty in June nullified that action, (2) it could rescind the action of the Senate on March 11, 1958 regarding professional librarians, or (3) it could approach the problem anew. Professor Felder moved that the Senate reconsider its action of March 11, 1958 concerning the election of professional librarians to the Academic Faculty.

The motion passed with one opposing vote. Professor Thurston moved the adoption of the Policy Committee's recommendation of March 11, 1958 that professional librarians be approved for election to the Academic Faculty. The motion carried with twenty votes in favor of and two opposing the motion. Professor Byars then moved that the action of the Senate on March 11, 1958 in defeating a motion that Mr. J. W. G. Gourlay be recommended for election to the Academic Faculty be rescinded. The motion passed unanimously. Professor Hind moved that the secretary of the Faculty Senate obtain a list of all current professional librarians and at the next Academic Faculty meeting that, President Schirmer nominate these people for election to the Academic Faculty with the endorsement of the Faculty Senate. The motion passed unanimously. Professor Lane then mentioned as information the policy of the Senate in submitting names for honorary degrees and suggested that
this subject be restudied.

Under the heading of new business, Professor McHugh brought up the question of items for study by the Senate. There followed a general discussion in which no action was taken.

The meeting adjourned at 5:12 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
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Dr. F. B. Schirmer  
President of the Faculty Senate

Dear Dr. Schirmer:

First, may I congratulate you and at the same time commend the members of the Faculty Senate for their wisdom in electing you to serve as President of this most important body during the current year.

Secondly, I wish to pledge to you and to the Faculty Senate my complete cooperation and unqualified support in dealing with any and all matters arising in the Senate where this office may be of assistance.

At your convenience I would appreciate it if you would stop by the office in order that we might have an opportunity for an informal discussion concerning the future role of the Faculty Senate at Clemson College.

Sincerely yours,

R. C. Edwards  
Acting President

RCE/V
Minutes of the Thirty-Sixth Meeting - November 11, 1958

The thirty-sixth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held November 11, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-fifth meeting were read and approved.

President Schirmer made three announcements as follows:

(1) the question of faculty recreation is currently under study by the Welfare Committee in cooperation with a similar committee of the Research Council.

(2) the officers and committee chairman of the Senate had decided to circulate to all faculty members a copy of the Faculty Senate organization chart for the academic year 1958-59 in lieu of reprinting the constitution of the Academic Faculty Senate.

(3) the last and only date for submitting names of candidates for honorary degrees to the Board of Trustees is the March, 1959 meeting of the board.

President Schirmer then read a letter from Professor McHugh regarding student counseling and stated that this topic had been referred to the Admissions & Scholarship Committee.

The chair then recognized Professor Macaulay who presented the preliminary report from the Admissions & Scholarship Committee regarding a revised summer school program. Following a general discussion, Professor Macaulay agreed to incorporate a few modifications into the report, circulate it to all department heads for comments, and to submit a revised report to the Senate at its December meeting.

President Schirmer next stated that he had received numerous requests for copies of the minutes of previous Senate meetings. The Senate then agreed to distribute copies of the minutes to all department heads beginning with the minutes of the November 11, 1958 meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 5:12 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
**Faculty Senate**
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Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Thirty-Seventh Meeting - December 9, 1958

The thirty-seventh meeting of the Faculty Senate was held December 9, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-sixth meeting were approved.

President Schirmer read a report from Acting President Edwards regarding the constitution of the official party which will represent Clemson College at the Sugar Bowl football game in New Orleans on January 1, 1959. Following a general discussion of this report, Professor Young moved that the Senate write a letter to Acting President Edwards thanking him for the report and for the forthright manner in which he stated the facts regarding the matter. The motion passed.

President Schirmer commented on an article which recently appeared in the student newspaper regarding the selection of a president for the college. Dean Williams was recognized and he stated that, as faculty representative on the committee formed to select the next president, he intended to follow the instructions previously given him by the Faculty Senate and by the ad hoc committee headed by Professor Goodale.

The chair recognized Professor Reed who presented the revised report regarding the proposed summer school program. Following a general discussion Professor Robinson moved the adoption of this report. The motion passed. A copy of this report is appended to these minutes.

President Schirmer then recognized Professor Whitney who gave a progress report on the work of the Policy Committee.

Professor Page was then recognized for a progress report on the matter of faculty recreation as being studied by the Welfare Committee.

President Schirmer raised again, for additional comments if any, the question of official college representation in New Orleans on January 1, 1959. Professor Robinson moved that the Senate suggest to Acting President Edwards that the official party representing the college include five members from the academic and research faculties, together with their wives, and that these five members be arbitrarily drawn from a list including all members of the academic and research faculties except deans, directors, and instructors. The motion passed with one opposing vote.

The meeting adjourned at 5:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
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December 12, 1958

Mr. R. C. Edwards, Acting President
Clemson College

Dear President Edwards:

President Schirmer gave to the Faculty Senate Tuesday your report of the official party which will represent Clemson at the Sugar Bowl football game in New Orleans on January 1, 1959. The members of the Senate wish to thank you for this report and for the forthright manner in which you presented the facts regarding the constitution of the official party.

The members of the Senate are firm in their conviction that the primary function of Clemson College is one of academic instruction and that any party which represents the college should include sufficient academic personnel if it is to be truly representative of the institution. Because of this deep-rooted belief, the Senate wishes to suggest to you that the official party to represent Clemson in New Orleans on January 1, 1959 be constituted to include five members, together with their wives, of the academic faculty. It is further suggested that these five members be arbitrarily drawn from a list of all academic and research faculty members excluding deans, directors, and instructors.

Sincerely yours,

James L. Edwards, Secretary

JLE/m

cc: Dr. Schirmer
December 13, 1958

Mr. James L. Edwards, Secretary
The Faculty Senate
Clemson College, South Carolina

Dear Mr. Edwards:

We are pleased to acknowledge your letter of December 12 which was delivered to me personally by Dr. Schirmer. Dr. Schirmer also gave me a very complete report regarding his presentation of the information which I asked him to deliver to the Faculty Senate at its regular meeting of December 9 concerning the official party representing Clemson College at the 1959 Sugar Bowl. I regret that my absence from the campus made it impossible to present this report in person. Let me assure you that it will always be my desire to see to it that the Faculty is appropriately informed, through the Faculty Senate, of all matters relating to Clemson College in which the Faculty has a high degree of interest. I appreciate greatly the expression of appreciation from the Senate concerning the report presented by Dr. Schirmer.

We are pleased to accept with appreciation the suggestion from the Senate that any delegation which is to be truly representative of Clemson College should include academic personnel. Regarding the suggestion that the official party be expanded to include five members, together with their wives, of the Academic Faculty, I wish to advise that upon careful review of the suggestion with Dean Kinard and Dean Walter Cox we have concluded that for reasons of economy that two rather than five would be more appropriate.

Regarding the suggestion that the faculty representatives be arbitrarily drawn from a list of our Academic and Research faculty members exclusive of Deans, Directors, and Instructors, I wish to advise that it is my considered opinion that a lottery type of selection would be most inappropriate. Please understand that in making this statement I am mindful of the fact that the Senate considered this point very carefully before making its recommendation.

This letter will confirm my conversation with Dr. Schirmer on yesterday that we shall be pleased to include in the official party two representatives from the Academic and Research faculty provided the Senate selects the two representatives, and provided that the selection not be made by lot. It is understood further that the Senate will use good judgment in considering the Academic and Research faculty as a whole in selecting the two individuals to be named to the official party.

With best wishes of the season, I am

Sincerely yours,

R. C. Edwards, Acting President

RCE/V

Copy to -
Dr. Frank Schirmer, President, Faculty Senate
Dr. F. M. Kinard, Dean of the College
Mr. Walter Cox, Dean of Students
The Faculty Senate has recommended that Clemson College conduct two six-week sessions of Summer School instead of the present one nine-week session. Factors that were considered in reaching this decision are listed below and are discussed at greater length in the pages attached.

Possible Advantages to Students and Faculty:

1. Two six-week sessions would offer the student a wider choice of hours; he could take six or twelve instead of only nine.

2. Students attending ROTC summer camp would be able to schedule summer school work.

3. School teachers would benefit from being able to take all courses in six-week terms instead of having to take some six-week courses and some nine-week courses as they do now. Faculty members teaching both education and other courses would find the same benefit.

4. Faculty members would have greater flexibility in planning summer teaching, research, or work.

5. Response to a request for faculty opinion on the proposal shows a majority favor the plan.

Possible Disadvantages:

6. Concentrated study for six weeks may prove difficult for some students in some courses.

7. Some laboratory courses may have to be taught over both six-week sessions. Mathematics offers such a problem in scheduling.

8. A shortage of rooms for about 150 persons for two nights during Farm and Home Week is a possibility.

Administrative Features:

9. The Registrar's Office reports it could handle registration and records with little additional cost.

10. It appears possible to schedule the two terms while allowing ample time for administrative details before, after, and between sessions.

11. Housing and classroom costs should not increase much; and if total enrollment increases, average cost should fall due to greater use.

Experience of Other Schools:

12. In a sample of thirty land-grant colleges, seven reported using two six-week sessions. Three used one nine-week session.

13. Six of the seven land-grant colleges using two six-week terms answered letters asking about their experience with the plan. All said they favored their plan over the single nine-week session.
1. The two six-weeks plan would offer a greater choice to the students. Those wishing to take more than the usual 9 hours allowed in the present Summer School would be able to do so. Those who desire less could take fewer hours in less time, and, by saving the cost of three weeks room and board, at less expense.

2. For students taking ROTC (Air or Army) summer camp, the two sessions would make possible some summer scholastic work. The Air ROTC sends students to camps at varying times; these could be arranged to fall in either the early or late part of the summer and thus leave time for six weeks of school. The Army sends its students to camp at a particular time—early in the summer. In 1958, this summer camp ran from June 21 to July 31. Such a late date might prohibit the student's attending either session of school. However, the Army allows credit for summer camp if the student completes 85% of the prescribed work. The student could without penalty complete this part, or perhaps all, of his work about a week ahead of the final day of camp and be able to attend the second session of Summer School. The variables here would be the willingness of ROTC instructors to arrange for work to be done ahead of schedule, the final date of camp, and the opening day of the second session.

3. Two six-week terms would also offer a wider variety of opportunities to teachers attending Summer School. Most Education courses are taught at present in a six-week term; most other courses are taught in a nine-week term. Teachers desiring to take both Education and Non-Education courses would find this combination more convenient if all courses are taught in six-week terms. Faculty members teaching the new science education courses and their regular courses would find the same advantage.

4. Two six-week terms are considered to offer a more economical use of faculty time. A faculty member could teach during one term and still have ample time for study or work at some other institution, research or vacation. Professors may have to content themselves with less pay for summer work, if they work only one term. However, the increased likelihood of some work every summer would probably increase the pay for summer teaching over a period of several years.

5. All department heads were sent copies of the pros and cons of the program and asked to secure comment from their faculty members and then reply. Replies were received from 15 departments. The results were:

In favor: (with number of classes taught in 1958 summer school)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Education</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agronomy</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Husbandry</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Engineering</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military-Army ROTC</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaving &amp; Design</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Opposed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botany &amp; Bacteriology</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horticulture</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Engineering</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evenly Divided:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The report sent to department heads concluded with, "On balance the program (2 six weeks) appears desirable." It may be expected that those who opposed this conclusion would be more likely to answer than those who supported it. If this is true, it is probable that most of the remaining departments did not have serious objection.

6. The six-week summer term offers at least one academic disadvantage—the difficulty of acquiring a given amount of knowledge and understanding in a short length of time. While it is true that the student has fewer subjects competing for his attention, still a certain amount of time for reflection and absorption is required for most subjects. Whether six weeks is too short a period should be considered.

7. Closely connected to the preceding point is the fact that many long laboratory courses would become impossible under the two six-weeks plan. For example, a 5 credit hour laboratory course, like Architecture 151, would be required to meet 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ hours a day, 6 days a week, which, to say the least, would be strenuous on both professor and student. While such courses are few in number, if they are considered necessary to the Summer School program they might be scheduled over both terms with 12 weeks attendance required for credit. The most serious problem in this connection appears to be the scheduling of mathematics courses so that professors will not find themselves with too little or too heavy a load. This department teaches mostly five hour courses; it is therefore difficult to schedule a normal six hour load. This problem has, however, been met by the schools listed below and presumably could be worked out at Clemson.

8. There is a potential conflict with the present operation of Farm & Home Week. During the past 2 or 3 years, the dormitories have been filled to their 2,600 person capacity for about 2 nights during the Week. Last summer with 1,200 students enrolled in Summer School, about 400 stayed in the dormitories. If the number of persons involved remains unchanged, about 400 persons would be affected by the 2 six-week terms. New dormitory space for about 250 is being planned which will mitigate but not eliminate the problem. Classroom space and dining hall facilities to take care of both Farm & Home Week and Summer School appear adequate.

9. The Registrar's Office has indicated that it would be able to handle the records and registration for two summer sessions without much additional cost. The Office does request that at least one week elapse between the end of the Spring Semester and the opening of the first summer term in order to allow time to complete and mail the required records.

10. Scheduling two six-week sessions in a period that contains only 11$\frac{1}{2}$ weeks between commencement and registration of returning students will require some consideration. The Registrar's Office should be given the week it requested. This would also allow faculty and students a slight respite between the two scholastic units of time. If a similar break is sought at the end of the second summer session, the problem becomes the scheduling of twelve weeks of school including two registration periods and two examination periods in twelve weeks. The following is a possibility:

Present policy at Clemson calls for a 3 hour lecture class to meet 1$\frac{1}{2}$ hours during the semester, excluding examination time. If summer classes meet for 1$\frac{1}{2}$ hours (i.e. 75 minutes), rather than the present 1 hour for 9 weeks, the 45 hours may be met in 30 class meetings. If the teaching week continues at 6 days, these meetings may be completed in 5 full weeks of classes, leaving one or two days for registration, one or two days for examinations, one or two days for holidays (the Fourth of July & Labor Day), and one or two days for a break between sessions and for the Registrar's Office to perform its duties. It may be noted that our present 9 week term contains less than 8 weeks of teaching.
11. There should be little, if any, increase in the cost of housing students or administering the program. Major repairs to buildings are often scheduled for the summer months and may conflict with the longer summer term. However, due to the low rate of use of facilities, this should not be a problem.

12. According to a survey conducted by the Social Sciences Department in 1957, thirty land-grant colleges had summer sessions as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Number of Colleges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Six-week term</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Eight-week term</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Nine-week term</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Five-week term</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Six-week term</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other combinations (no two alike)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Seven land-grant colleges that have 2 six-week terms were written and were asked about their experience with the plan and how they met certain problems. Six schools answered and all said they favored the 2 six-week sessions over the single nine-weeks session. They were:

- University of Arkansas
- Mississippi State University
- North Carolina State College
- University of Tennessee
- Texas A & M
- West Virginia University

One school, North Carolina State, would like to arrange a faculty exchange program if Clemson adopts the 2 six-weeks plan.
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Thirty-Eighth Meeting - December 16, 1958

The thirty-eighth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held jointly with the Research Council December 16, 1958 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

Following a welcome to the members of the Research Council, President Schirmer explained that the purpose of the meeting was to select two faculty members for membership in the official party to represent Clemson College at the Sugar Bowl festivities in New Orleans on January 1, 1959.

Professor Aull moved that the Reverends C. A. Arrington and M. B. Hudnall be elected. The motion was defeated. Following several motions which were made and withdrawn, each representative present nominated two faculty members by secret ballot. From this list, which excluded instructors, deans, directors, and all members of the Faculty Senate and of the Research Council, Professors J. C. Cook and C. B. Green were chosen by secret ballot. Elected in the same manner as alternates in order of preference were Professors C. M. Jones, G. E. Bair, E. M. Lander, W. A. Speer, and Reverend C. A. Arrington.

Professors King and Schirmer then expressed individually their satisfaction over the close cooperation that existed between the Faculty Senate and the Research Council on matters of mutual interest.

The meeting adjourned at 5:17 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members Present</th>
<th>Members Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byars, E. F.</td>
<td>Schirmer, F. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boykin, W. B. S.</td>
<td>Walters, C. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, T. A.</td>
<td>Wray, C. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coker, E. C.</td>
<td>Young, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards, J. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felder, H. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford, J. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godley, W. C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodale, B. E.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunnin, E. A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hind, A. T.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaulay, H. H.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McHugh, C. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, J. E.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page, C. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed, C. A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: These minutes corrected January 15, 1959)
Minutes of the Thirty-Ninth Meeting - January 13, 1959

The thirty-ninth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held January 13, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-seventh meeting were approved. The minutes of the thirty-eighth meeting were approved as corrected.

President Schirmer welcomed Professor C. B. Green to the meeting. He then read letters from Professors J. C. Cook, C. B. Green and G. M. Jones regarding their selection and/or attendance as faculty representatives at the Sugar Bowl festivities in New Orleans on January 1, 1959.

President Schirmer read a letter from Dean Kimard dated December 10, 1958 regarding the status of recommendations made by the Faculty Senate to the Educational Council. A copy of this letter is appended to these minutes. In regard to the proposed patent policy, the letter stated that final action had been deferred by the Council until additional information could be obtained about the foundation as proposed therein. Professor Robinson agreed to meet with the proper officials to explain the purpose and functions of this foundation.

Professor Thurston was recognized for a progress report on the study of faculty recreation as made by the Welfare Committee.

President Schirmer then recognized Professor Whitney who read to the meeting a questionnaire regarding honorary degrees and awards which is being circulated to other institutions by the Policy Committee.

Professor C. B. Green was then recognized for a report on the revision of the student personnel rating card as studied by the Admissions & Scholarship Committee. This report was accepted with the recommendation that no action be taken at this time.

Professor Reed next reported that future studies of the Admissions & Scholarship Committee would include such topics as academic scholarships, student counseling and class advising, preregistration, and class scheduling.

President Schirmer then recognized Professor Coker who stated that at the present time the study of the major medical insurance plan was inactive because of an apparent lack of interest among the college faculty and staff.

President Schirmer next mentioned receipt of a letter from President King of the Research Council regarding retirement benefits for employees who die while in the service of the institution. He then requested Professor Thurston to appoint a member of the Welfare Committee to meet with the proper group in the Research Council to study this matter.
Faculty Senate
Minutes of the Thirty-Ninth Meeting
January 13, 1959

The meeting adjourned at 5:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary

Members Present

Cartee, E. F.
Coker, E. C.
Cooper, J. B.
Edwards, J. L.
Felder, H. M.
Ford, J. M.
Godley, W. C.
Goodale, B. E.
Gunnin, E. A.
Hind, A. T.
McHugh, C. M.
Miller, J. E.
Page, C. M.
Reed, C. A.
Robinson, G. C.
Schirmer, F. B.
Thurston, J. N.
Walters, J. V.
Whitney, J. B.
Wray, C. V.
Young, J. L.

Members Absent

Byars, E. F.
Boykin, W. B. S.
Campbell, T. A.
Lane, J. D.
Macaulay, H. H.
December 19, 1958

Dr. Frank Schirmer  
President, Clemson College Faculty Senate

Dear Frank:

Will you please express my sincere appreciation to the members of the Faculty Senate for the honor given me in nominating me to represent the Clemson College Faculty at the Sugar Bowl game. I regret very much that I was not able to take advantage of this opportunity.

Sincerely yours,

J. C. Cook, Jr.
Head, Mechanical Engineering Department

JCC: g
January 12, 1959

Dr. F. B. Schirmer  
President, The Faculty Senate  
Clemson College  
Clemson, South Carolina

Dear Dr. Schirmer:

Through you I should like to express to the Faculty Senate and the Research Faculty Council my sincere appreciation for the honor conferred upon me by electing me to be one of your representatives in the Official Clemson Party at the Sugar Bowl Game on January 1. I esteem very highly the privilege of meeting the leaders in our state government, members of our Board of Trustees, and other special guests of the College who were in attendance at the game. It was a distinct pleasure to see and talk with the Clemson alumni, many of whom I had taught and others of whom I knew or had the pleasure of meeting for the first time.

It is my firm conviction that every activity of Clemson College is of vital concern to all of us who are connected with the College or interested in its welfare. Certainly our athletic program, which is one part of our total educational plan, concerns all of us and not just the men who coach the various sports, the students who play them, and the spectators who watch the games. In any educational institution worthy of the name, the faculty should be and must be deeply interested in every matter which affects the welfare of the institution. As a special representative of the Clemson faculty, I personally welcomed the opportunity of being present at this significant occasion in the athletic history of the College. Your sending me and my going to this event does not mean, I am sure, that either of us is any less mindful of the true functions and objectives of the College and their proper relation to each other.

May I add that I was given every consideration by all those who were in charge of planning the innumerable details related to the journey and the stay in New Orleans.

Sincerely yours,

Claud B. Green  
Professor of English

cc: Mr. R. C. Edwards  
Dr. W. A. King  
Professor J. L. Edwards
Dr. F. B. Schirmer, Jr., President  
Clemson College Faculty Senate  

Dr. W. A. King, President  
Clemson College Research Council  

Gentlemen:  

I wish to express my thanks to the members of the Faculty Senate and Research Council for having the opportunity of representing the Clemson College faculty at the Sugar Bowl game festivities. As members of the Clemson official party, my wife and I were treated royally and enjoyed the occasion very much. We were guests at two official functions in addition to the football game.

Please inform the members of your respective organizations of my sincere appreciation for having the opportunity of representing the faculty at this event.

Sincerely yours,

Champ M. Jones  
Professor of Agronomy  

CMJ:bw

CC: Mr. R. C. Edwards
Office of
Dean of the College

Dr. F. B. Schirmer, President
Faculty Senate
Clemson College

Dear Dr. Schirmer:

Following the conference with you and Mr. J. L. Edwards this morning I am giving you a written summary of information on the status of Faculty Senate recommendations so that your written records will be up-to-date.

I explained to you that final action is still pending on recommendations on leave policies and a policy on outside work. Both of these I regard as priority items and will see through the slow and difficult process of deliberation as soon as is practicable.

As has been indicated orally the Senate recommendation on a policy for conferring the title of emeritus has been approved not only by the local administration but by the Board of Trustees as submitted by the Senate. A copy of the policy as approved by the Board of Trustees June 20, 1958, is enclosed.

The analytical review of the tenure policy passed by the Senate in April 1958 is being held in abeyance at the moment pending the possibility of a more propitious time for further official consideration.

On July 14 the Educational Council reached a consensus on the proposed patent policy but deferred final action pending more definite information on the foundation as proposed in the policy statement. The following sentence occurs in the minutes of that meeting: "It was understood that Mr. Wilson would discuss the proposed policy with appropriate state officials and explore the possibilities of provision of a foundation as proposed in the policy statement, and report back to the Council."

Two recommendations were submitted after the Senate meeting March 11, 1958, one having to do with rotating membership on councils and committees and the other having to do with the provision of academic scholarships. These two were submitted to me with the specific request that I present them
POLICY ON CONFERRING TITLE OF EMERITUS

(As Approved by the Board of Trustees June 20, 1958)

In recognition of faithful service, the Clemson Agricultural College shall confer the title of Emeritus on Professors, Associate Professors, and Assistant Professors who, at the time of their retirement from Clemson College, are serving in that capacity and who shall have had a minimum of fifteen years academic service, ten of which shall have been served at Clemson College. In further recognition, a scroll expressing the appreciation of the college for this faithful service shall be given to the individual, and his name shall appear in the college catalog under a separate heading entitled Emeritus Faculty, under which all emeritus faculty shall be listed.

Upon the adoption of this recommendation, it shall be made retroactive to include all living, qualified faculty members not already listed.

There shall be no distinction between retirement for age, length of service, or disability as long as the required minimum length of service is met, nor will the conferring of this title be subject to any recommendation or approval.
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Fortieth Meeting - February 10, 1959.

The fortieth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held February 10, 1959 at 4 p.m., in Room 200, Clin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-ninth meeting were approved.

President Schirmer recognized Professor Thurston who presented the report on the study of faculty recreation as made by the Welfare Committee. After a general discussion the report was approved in principle and the Welfare Committee was requested to make minor changes in the report and present the final version at the next meeting of the Senate.

President Schirmer recognized Professor Bair, chairman of the ad hoc committee to establish the criteria for the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship, for a report from this committee. Before presenting information on the R. F. Memorial Scholarship, Professor Bair stated that the committee wished to recommend to the Senate that a certificate be awarded annually by the Faculty Senate to the member of the graduating class with the best academic record, designating that student as recipient of the Faculty Senate Award for academic excellence. President Schirmer referred this recommendation to the Admissions & Scholarship Committee for study. Professor Bair then reported to the Senate on the status of the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship. Due to the lateness of the hour, Professor Felder moved that action on this report be deferred until the March meeting of the Senate. The motion passed.

The meeting adjourned at 5:22 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary

Members Present

Boykin, W. B. S.
Byars, E. F.
Campbell, T. A.
Cartee, E. F.
Cooper, J. B.
Edwards, J. L.
Felder, H. M.
Godley, W. C.
Goodale, B. E.
Gunnin, E. A.
Hind, A. T.
Macaulay, H. H.
McHugh, C. M.

Miller, J. E.
Page, C. M.
Reed, C. A.
Robinson, G. C.
Schirmer, F. B.
Thurston, J. N.
Walters, W. Y.
Whitney, J. B.
Young, J. L.

Members Absent

Coker, E. C.
Ford, J. M.
Lane, J. D.
Wray, C. V.
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Forty-first Meeting - March 10, 1959

The forty-first meeting of the Faculty Senate was held March 10, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the fortieth meeting were approved with the following correction:

"In the list of members present, Walters, W. V. was changed to Walters, J. V."

President Schirmer recognized Professor Moorman who reported the results of his meeting with the Educational Council to discuss the class attendance regulations as proposed by the Senate. Professor Moorman stated that the Educational Council directed Deans Cox and Kinard to appoint a committee to make a further study of the regulations as endorsed by the Senate. Membership of this committee is as follows: Professor Moorman, chairman, Dean Hunter, and Professors Epps, Lowry, and Sheldon.

President Schirmer recognized Professor Macaulay, who reported the results of his meeting with the Educational Council to discuss the Senate's proposal to revise the summer school program. He stated that the Educational Council objected to certain administrative difficulties associated with the revised summer program, but did agree to offer some selected courses on a trial basis for six weeks this summer.

President Schirmer next recognized Professor Thorston who presented the final report from the Welfare Committee on the study of faculty recreation. Professor Young moved that the report be adopted and forwarded to the proper authorities for action. The motion passed. A copy of this report is appended to these minutes.

Professor Bair was then recognized for a report on the status of the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship Fund. Professor Edwards moved that the report be adopted and that the ad hoc committee be instructed to proceed with the administration of this fund. The motion passed. A copy of this report is attached to these minutes.

Professor Reed was recognized for a report on two items which had previously been referred to the Admissions & Scholarship Committee for study. He stated that the student body had requested, through Dean Kinard's office, a change in the present class attendance regulations. In view of the fact that the Educational Council is presently studying regulations previously passed by the Senate, he moved that no action be taken on this request. The motion passed. He also moved that no action be taken on Professor Bair's recommendation of February 10, 1959 that a certificate be awarded annually by the Faculty Senate to the member of the graduating class with the best
academic record. Ten votes were recorded for the motion, and eleven against; thus, the motion was defeated. Professor Feider then moved the approval of Professor Bair's recommendation of February 10, 1959. The motion passed.

The meeting adjourned at 5:18 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary

Members Present

Boykin, W. B. S.
Byars, E. F.
Coker, E. C.
Edwards, J. L.
Feider, H. M.
Ford, J. M.
Godley, W. C.
Goodale, B. E.
Gunnin, E. A.
Hind, A. T.
Lane, J. D.
Macauley, H. H.
McHugh, C. M.
Miller, J. E.
Page, C. M.
Reed, C. A.
Schirmer, F. B.
Thurston, J. N.
Walters, J. V.
Whitney, J. B.
Wray, C. V.
Young, J. L.

Members Absent

Campbell, T. A.
Carter, E. F.
Cooper, J. B.
Robinson, G. C.
February 7, 1959

Report on the Study of Recreational Facilities at Clemson, submitted jointly by the Faculty Senate and the Research Faculty Council

Need for and interest in improved recreational facilities at Clemson

In the Fall of 1958, Dean Kinard suggested that the Faculty Senate make a study of the recreational facilities at Clemson, and also determine how much interest there was in improved facilities of this type. Since this was clearly a project of interest to the whole campus group, the study was undertaken as a joint project of the two organized campus representative bodies, the Faculty Senate and the Research Faculty Council. This report is a result of the joint action of these groups, including committees appointed therefrom to gather the data and prepare the recommendations.

In the latter part of November, 1958, questionnaires (see sample attached) were sent to approximately 650 of the professional personnel at Clemson College. An attempt was made to reach all of this group. Of the 650 questionnaires sent out, 269 were returned, many of them with interesting and valuable comments. As a result of this return, it is concluded that there is a strong interest in improving the recreational facilities at Clemson.

Results of the survey are given below in order of preference.

(1) Swimming and Lake Isaqueena Park (tie) - 161
(2) Bowling - 144
(3) Faculty Club - 111
(4) Golf on college course - 101
(5) Golf at Boscobel - 62 (preferably subsidized)
(6) Tennis - 59
(7) Volleyball - 40
(8) Badminton - 36
(9) Horseshoes - 26
(10) Handball - 18
(11) Squash - 3

The items listed above were on the check list that was provided. In addition, a number of "write-in" requests were made, some of them frequently enough to be considered significant. It is possible that a different check list, with some of these requests included, might have shown a different order of preference for recreational facilities. The additional requests were:

(1) Use of Hartwell Lake for fishing, boating, and picnicking, with use definitely limited to authorized personnel by some procedure such as membership card. To be on college land if possible.

(2) Development of hunting and fishing facilities on college land, probably in a portion of the wildlife management or land-use areas, and preferably restricted to college personnel.
(3) Outdoor swimming facility for use of community in summer.

(4) Snack and lounge facilities for faculty.

(5) Gym facilities for faculty, with lockers and showers.

(6) Continued support of the Concert Series and college backing for the Little Theater organization.

II Facilities now or soon to be available in or near Clemson

A study was made of facilities for various forms of recreation in this area. These are listed below, with comments on several of them.

a. Lake Isaqueena
b. Hartwell Lake
   a. State and National recreation areas
   b. College operated and controlled recreation areas
c. Boscobel
d. Various private country clubs
e. Y. M. C. A.
f. Field House, Stadium, tennis courts, and practice fields
g. Clemson House
h. Wildlife management or land-use areas

All of these existing facilities are used to a varying extent at the present time. Some of the problems are:

a. Lake Isaqueena
   a. Swimming and boating or fishing facilities would need careful study and professional planning, supervision and repair.
   b. Vandalism and uncontrolled public use creates problems.

b. Hartwell Lake
   a. National, State and private areas will be available; whether they are properly developed or not, we cannot foresee.
   b. Control of public on College land is a problem.

c. Boscobel

Private operation to be related to real estate developments, but this facility has potential, if College could subsidize memberships or help negotiate a group membership at a set rate, giving a good block of reliable members in return. The College has cooperated with the owners of Boscobel in the past, and has contributed to it in various ways.

d. Other private country clubs are not always available, and probably are too expensive for the average faculty family, and are too far away to be convenient.
e. The Y. M. C. A. has functioned in the past and still is useful, but cannot fill enough needs, and should be used other ways. It is not set up to do a really successful job with present spaces and location. Need further careful study and analysis. The present Y. M. C. A. pool and its facilities are most inadequate for present college and community recreational needs.

f. Field House, stadium, tennis courts, and practice field. These facilities are woefully overcrowded and inadequate for their uses now, let alone to take on additional use by faculty and staff. The whole problem of Physical Education, intramural sports, intercollegiate sports and public audiences, is too big for this committee's study, and should be studied professionally in relation to total campus planning and development. About all that can be said is that their use increases constantly and would be greater were there more facilities for use of students and faculty.

g. Clemson House
Fills some needs, but is essentially a public, commercial hotel.

h. The wildlife management and land-use areas were once considered to be suitable for limited hunting and fishing, and some of the faculty invested money in these areas to improve hunting conditions. Recently, however, there has been an unduly restrictive policy on these sections, with many areas arbitrarily posted and patrolled by personnel having dubious authority. This whole situation needs to be clarified.

III Recommendations

The Faculty Senate and the Research Faculty Council recommend that the college make a determined and continuing effort to provide better recreational facilities in and around Clemson. These facilities should be planned with care, so that college and community needs will be kept in mind as the campus and the town develop over the years. Some orderly, step-by-step procedure should be devised, to tie in with overall campus planning and management. Funds well spent in this type of endeavor should help to counterbalance higher salaries offered by organizations where living is not so pleasant.

Since the bodies submitting this report have no way of knowing how much these improved facilities will cost, and also have no information on funds available, it would be unrealistic to make specific recommendations. The priority list shown in Part I clearly indicates the items that are considered most important by the college personnel. Nevertheless, certain suggestions are made below, with the thought that they may serve as guides in planning. These are listed in what is believed to be increasing order of complexity and expense.

Suggestion A

1. A restricted park, picnic, and boating site on Hartwell Lake on land near Clemson (or use of Lake Isaquena instead of or in addition to Hartwell Lake).

3. Gymnasium facilities, with lockers and showers, for professional personnel.

4. Outdoor handball courts.

5. Hunting and fishing in college-owned areas, possibly in land-use or wildlife-management sections, with clear-cut policy as to when and where this can be done.

**Suggestion B**

In addition to the items under A:

1. A new swimming pool, possibly arranged to take advantage of sun and air in nice weather.

2. Snack and lounge facilities for faculty

3. Bowling alleys

4. Subsidized membership at Boscobel

**Suggestion C**

In addition to the items under A and B, with modifications as noted:

1. A faculty club, possibly in the Trustee House (if it becomes vacant) instead of item B-2.

2. Purchase of Boscobel and use as a college facility, perhaps with restricted membership list for others.

3. If not C-2 (above), development of a college-owned golf course.

4. Construction of an adequate auditorium, arranged so that local theater groups could use all or a portion of it.
Through the efforts of the faculty, there has been established at Clemson The R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship Fund. This fund shall exist as a living endowment supported by annual contributions from the faculty, the Alumni Association, the Clemson Foundation, and other sources.

The purpose of this fund shall be to reward scholastic potential and achievement in an effort to encourage academic excellence within the Clemson student body.

For the academic year 1959-1960 the following shall be awarded from this fund:

1. **Three R. F. Poole Memorial Freshman Scholarships**
   To be awarded to the three incoming freshmen with the highest scores on the entrance examination.

2. **The R. F. Poole Memorial Sophomore Scholarship Award**
   To be awarded to the member of the Freshman class with the highest cumulative grade point ratio at the conclusion of the Freshman year.

3. **The R. F. Poole Memorial Junior Scholarship Award**
   To be awarded to the member of the Sophomore class with the highest cumulative grade point ratio at the conclusion of the Sophomore year.

4. **The R. F. Poole Memorial Senior Scholarship Award**
   To be awarded to the member of the Junior class with the highest cumulative grade point ratio at the conclusion of the Junior year.

The Committee on Committees of the Faculty Senate shall designate the recipients of the awards.

Each Freshman Scholarship shall consist of $600 and a certificate signed by the President of the Faculty Senate. Each Scholarship Award shall consist of $200 and a like certificate. In the event of a tie, the Committee on Committees shall establish additional criteria for determining the recipient of the award.

The winners of the Freshman Scholarships shall be announced by May 1st each year, and the winners of the other awards as soon as practicable after the close of the spring semester. The certificates shall be awarded at the annual fall convocation.

Determination of the classification of the students shall be made by the Registrar, and all questions regarding the interpretation or implementation of this policy for the selection of recipients and the awarding of funds shall be referred to the Committee on Committees of the Faculty Senate.
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Forty-second Meeting - April 14, 1959

The forty-second meeting of the Faculty Senate was held April 14, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

In the interim before a quorum was assembled, President Schirmer introduced Professor Thurston who discussed the Research Faculty Council's proposal to modify the present retirement benefit allowance of the South Carolina Retirement System.

At 4:17 p.m. a quorum was assembled and the meeting was declared in order.

Following slight modifications of wording, Professor Thurston moved the adoption of this proposal to modify the retirement benefit allowance of the South Carolina Retirement System. The motion passed. A copy of this proposal as adopted is appended to these minutes.

President Schirmer then reported on his talks with Dean Kinard and with the officers and committee chairmen of the Senate regarding the award to be made annually by the Faculty Senate to the member of the graduating class with the highest academic record. He then made the following four-part recommendation: (1) that the award consist of both a medal and a certificate, (2) that the award be made in the name of the Academic Faculty instead of the Faculty Senate, (3) that the recipient must have taken at least 75% of his academic work at Clemson, and (4) that the award be presented at the commencement exercises by the president of the Faculty Senate. Professor Walters moved the adoption of this recommendation. The motion passed. President Schirmer next stated that the college administration had favorably received the report from the Senate covering the study of faculty recreation, and had formed a college-wide committee to investigate ways and means to implement the Senate's recommendations. The Faculty Senate was requested by the administration to nominate two faculty members to serve on this committee as representatives of the Academic Faculty. Professor Goodale moved the approval of Professors Page and Thurston as faculty representatives on this committee. The motion passed.

President Schirmer then read a letter from Professor Bair regarding the relationship between the faculty and alumni. In this letter Professor Bair made the following recommendation:

"On behalf of the Academic Faculty of Clemson College, the Faculty Senate expresses its sincere appreciation to the Clemson Alumni Association for the accomplishments of the Alumni Loyalty Fund in 1958 as reported in the Loyalty Fund Honor Roll and to the Clemson alumni for their individual investments in the academic program of the college." President Schirmer moved that this recommendation be adopted and copies forwarded...
Faculty Senate
Minutes of the Forty-second Meeting

At this point the minutes of the forty-first meeting were approved.

President Schirmer then recognized Professor Whitney, chairman of the Policy Committee, for a report on the awarding of honorary degrees. Professor Whitney moved that the report of the study made by the Policy Committee regarding honorary degrees be adopted. The motion passed. A copy of this report is appended to these minutes. Following a discussion as to what action to take on this report, Professor Godley moved that action be deferred and that Mr. Metz be requested to review the minutes of the meetings of the Board of Trustees and to provide the Senate with the official policy of Clemson College on the awarding of honorary degrees. The motion passed.

Professor Goodale was recognized and he moved the adoption of the following resolution:

"The Clemson College Faculty Senate wishes to go on official record as pledging its support to President R. C. Edwards and his administration. We express our most cordial best wishes." The motion passed.

President Schirmer then introduced Dean Williams who reported briefly on his recent assignment as faculty representative on the committee formed to select the eighth president of Clemson College.

In closing President Schirmer recognized the outgoing senators for their long and faithful tenure.

The meeting adjourned at 5:18 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
Faculty Senate
Minutes of the Forty-second Meeting

Members Present

Byars, E. F.
Cartee, E. F.
Cooper, J. B.
Edwards, J. L.
Felder, H. M.
Ford, J. M.
Godley, W. C.
Goodale, B. E.
Gunnin, E. A.
McHugh, C. M.
Miller, J. E.
Page, C. M.
Reed, C. A.
Robinson, G. C.
Schirmer, F. B.
Thurston, J. N.
Walters, J. V.
Whitney, J. B.
Wray, C. V.

Members Absent

Boykin, W. B. S.
Campbell, T. A.
Coker, E. C.
Hind, A. T.
Lane, J. D.
Macaulay, H. H.
Young, J. L.
REQUEST FOR A MODIFICATION OF BENEFITS SHOULD A MEMBER DIE BEFORE RETIREMENT

The South Carolina Retirement System which was established in 1945 and placed under the management of the State Budget and Control Board provides four (4) plans from which a member may choose to receive a retirement benefit upon reaching the age of 60 years or after 35 years of service. The amount of such benefit to be received is based upon the member’s and employer’s contributions. Should the member die, however, before reaching age 65 or completing 35 years of service, the system provides that the designated beneficiary receives only the amount of the member’s contributions, with interest.

Considering the natural desire of an individual to provide a monthly annuity allowance for his widow should he die before retirement, the Administration of Clemson College is urged to request the State Budget and Control Board of South Carolina (through the State Employees Association and the Director of the South Carolina Retirement System) to give consideration to a modification of the optional allowances to the end that the beneficiary of a member who has 10 or more years of creditable service and who dies before retirement may receive a benefit based on both the member’s contribution and the matching contributions provided by the state with either a lump sum settlement including interest or a continuing monthly annuity allowance as is now provided in Option 2 under Section 61-114.1.
Policies on Awards and Citations, including Honorary Degrees

In order to ascertain the policies of other institutions in making awards and citations, including honorary degrees, so that recommendations might be made for a policy at Clemson College as requested of the Senate by certain faculty members, a letter and questionnaire (copies attached) were sent to fifty colleges and universities of the southern, eastern, and central parts of the United States - land-grant institutions in the main, with smaller numbers to state- and privately-supported schools.

Completed questionnaires, letters, or statements of policy, or combinations of these were received from eighteen land-grant, six state-supported and eight privately supported colleges and universities.

Six of the responding institutions present awards or citations in lieu of honorary degrees, eight do so in addition to awarding honorary degrees, and of these, two present such awards or citations both in lieu of, and in addition to, honorary degrees. In the words of the questionnaire, these might be "Honored Citizen," "Outstanding Alumnus," or "Eminent Scholar." Other awards or citations specifically named were "The Yale Medal," "Alumni Award of Merit," "Certificate of Merit," "Medal of Honor," and the "URI Award" (by the University of Rhode Island).

The replies indicated that twenty-two institutions more or less regularly award honorary degrees, one "occasionally" does, one very rarely does, and eight never do. Of those which grant honorary degrees, with some regularity, thirteen indicated an annual average of 1-5, three an average of 5-10, and five an average of 10-15. One did not state the number awarded annually, and one indicated that only two had been awarded in the past five years.

In the words of the questionnaire, the usual reasons for awarding honorary degrees are as follows, with the number of schools indicating these reasons (if any) shown in parentheses:

- Contributions to learning: (19)
- Eminence in research: (19)
- Distinction in civic affairs: (17)
- Prominence in industry: (11)
- Philanthropy in general: (6)
- Philanthropy toward the institution: (2)
- Outstanding military service: (5)

Among other reasons cited were (direct quotations): "Outstanding achievement or contribution in one's chosen field," "Notable distinction in an intellectual occupation," "Some contribution to North Carolina in relation to the objectives of North Carolina State College," "Educational Leadership."

At thirteen of the institutions which award honorary degrees with some regularity, the faculty votes on candidates. A faculty committee or a committee including faculty members must nominate candidates at thirteen of the schools. At only two of the schools is there no faculty participation.
At the schools regularly awarding honorary degrees, the Board of Trustees (Regents, Governors, etc.) has the final decision as to recipients of degrees in all cases (shared with the faculty at two). But as indicated above, in thirteen of the schools, the Board considers only candidates nominated or recommended by the faculty, a faculty committee, or a faculty-board committee, a faculty-administration committee, or a faculty-board-administration committee. At one, the "Board of Governors has the last word which usually accords with the faculty recommendation." At another, a "faculty committee screens nominees submitted by schools," and while the final decision rests with the Board, the "chairman of Faculty Committee is consulted."

Among the four schools regularly awarding honorary degrees at which nominations or recommendations need not come from the faculty or a committee on which faculty members serve, two reserve to the faculty the right to veto nominations.

It is evident that at the majority of responding colleges and universities, there is a policy of faculty participation in the selection of candidates for honorary degrees. The Committee on Policies proposes that the Faculty Senate request that the Faculty participate in the selection of candidates to receive honorary degrees from Clemson College. The attached policy and procedure is suggested.

Submitted by The Committee on Policies of the Faculty Senate, April 14, 1959.
Questionnaire Concerning the Awarding of Honorary Degrees

Name of Institution

1. Approximately how many earned degrees are awarded by your institution per year?
   a. Bachelors Degrees: Less than 100 __; 100-250 __; 250-500 __; 500 or more ___.
   b. Masters Degrees: Less than 10 __; 10-25 __; 25-50 __; 50 or more ___.
   c. Doctors Degrees: Less than 10 __; 10-25 __; 25-50 __; 50 or more ___.

2. Does your institution award honorary degrees? Yes __; No __.

3. If so, what is the approximate number of degrees awarded per year recently?
   1-5 __; 5-10 __; 10-15 __; more than 15 __.

4. On what basis or bases are the recipients of honorary degrees chosen?
   a. Contributions to learning ___; b. Eminence in research ___; c. Distinction in civic affairs ___;
   d. Prominence in industry ___; e. Philanthropy in general ___; f. Philanthropy toward your institution ___;
   g. Outstanding military service; h. Other ________________________________

5. Does the faculty vote on nominees for honorary degrees? Yes __; No __.

6. Which group or groups usually has the final decision as to recipients of honorary degrees?
   a. Faculty ___; b. Administration ___; c. Board of Regents (Trustees) ___.

7. Does the faculty have veto power on nominations originating elsewhere? Yes __; No __.

8. Does your institution present awards such as "Honored Citizen," "Outstanding Alumnus," "Eminent Scholar" a. in lieu of honorary degrees? Yes __; No __.
   b. in addition to honorary degrees? Yes __; No __.

Do you wish this material considered confidential? Yes __; No __.

Replies prepared by: ________________________________

Title: ________________________________

Please return to: Dr. John B. Whitney, Jr.
Department of Botany and Bacteriology
Clemson College
Clemson, S. C.
Dear Sir:

The Faculty Senate of Clemson College has been requested to make recommendations to the College Administration concerning the awarding of honorary degrees. The matter has been referred to the Committee on Policies.

We should like to ascertain the policies of other institutions to guide us in our recommendations. Would you (or a designated member of your staff) please answer the questions on the enclosed questionnaire.

Your assistance and cooperation will be appreciated. The information you supply will be treated as confidential if you so desire. A copy of the summary of our findings will be sent to you at your request.

If your institution has a stated policy on the awarding of honorary degrees, we would be glad to receive a copy of this policy in lieu of, or in addition to, the completed questionnaire.

Sincerely yours,

John B. Whitney, Jr., Ph.D.
Chairman, Faculty Senate Committee on Policies
Professor of Botany
Botany and Bacteriology Department

JEW/md
Honorary Degrees

An honorary degree is an academic award. It must reflect the intellectual, cultural and spiritual qualities of the institution which makes the award and of the individual who receives it. This reciprocal responsibility is the basis for consideration of all degrees and of the special requirements for each type of degree.

Number

The significance of an award is enhanced by its comparative rarity. It shall be the policy of Clemson College to limit awards of honorary degrees to approximately five during one complete academic year.

Procedure

1. Nominations for honorary degrees shall be originated by deans or department heads and forwarded by them to the Faculty Senate. The recommendations of the Senate with sufficient data to insure well-considered action on each candidate shall then be presented through the President of the College to the Board of Trustees for final action.

2. To enable the Senate to procure sufficient data and give time for careful consideration and investigation, nominations will be received only up to January 1 preceding the Commencement at which the degrees are to be awarded.

3. All persons to receive honorary degrees shall be notified by the President of the College. He shall inform each of the specific degree he is to receive, and of the date it is to be awarded.

4. Except under extraordinary circumstances, honorary degrees will not be awarded in absentia.

Factors which shall govern the Senate in considering candidates for recognition through the granting of an honorary degree:

a. Outstanding service or achievement in a field of significance to society, of which the College wishes to indicate its support or approval.

b. Extraordinary contribution to the well-being of the College, the State, or the Nation.
The forty-third meeting of the Faculty Senate was held May 12, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

In the interim before a quorum was assembled, President Schirmer introduced Mr. E. P. Willimon, Business Manager of Athletics, who spoke to the group regarding the sale of season tickets to athletic events.

At 4:13 p.m. a quorum was assembled and the meeting was declared in order. President Schirmer then introduced and extended a welcome to the new members in attendance. The minutes of the forty-second meeting were then approved. President Schirmer then announced that the Educational Council was proceeding with plans to implement the Senate's recommendation that an award be made annually at the commencement exercises to the member of the graduating class with the highest academic record.

Following this announcement the officers and members of the Committee on Committees for the academic year 1959-60 were elected. The results of the election are as follows:

President - J. E. Miller
Vice President - J. N. Thurston
Secretary - W. D. Trevillian

Committee on Committees:

Agriculture - W. B. S. Boykin
Architecture - E. A. Gunnin
Arts & Sciences - C. B. Green
Engineering - C. M. McHugh
Textiles - J. V. Walters

President Schirmer recognized Professor Whitney, chairman of the Policy Committee, for a report on the awarding of honorary degrees. Following a general discussion, Professor Green moved that the second sentence on the first page be reworded to read as follows: "At present, the faculty does not participate in the selection of candidates for the honorary doctor's degree." The motion passed. Professor Reed moved that action on this report be deferred until the June meeting and that the regular June meeting be held on June 16, 1959 instead of June 9, 1959. The motion passed.

President-elect Miller next addressed the Senate briefly.

The meeting adjourned at 5:02 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
The Faculty Senate
May 12, 1959
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Facility Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Forty-third Meeting - May 12, 1959

The forty-third meeting of the Faculty Senate was held May 12, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Clin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

In the interim before a quorum was assembled, President Schirmer introduced Mr. E. P. Willimon, Business Manager of Athletics, who spoke to the group regarding the sale of season tickets to athletic events.

At 4:13 p.m., a quorum was assembled and the meeting was declared in order. President Schirmer then introduced and extended a welcome to the new members in attendance. The minutes of the forty-second meeting were then approved. President Schirmer then announced that the Educational Council was proceeding with plans to implement the Senate's recommendation that an award be made annually at the commencement exercises to the member of the graduating class with the highest academic record.

Following this announcement the officers and members of the Committee on Committees for the academic year 1959-60 were elected. The results of the election are as follows:

President - J. E. Miller
Vice President - J. N. Thurston
Secretary - W. D. Trevillian

Committee on Committees:

Agriculture - W. B. S. Boykin
Architecture - E. A. Gunnin
Arts & Sciences - C. B. Green
Engineering - C. M. McHugh
Textiles - J. V. Walters

President Schirmer recognized Professor Whitney, chairman of the Policy Committee, for a report on the awarding of honorary degrees. Following a general discussion, Professor Green moved that the second sentence on the first page be reworded to read as follows: "At present, the faculty does not participate in the selection of candidates for the honorary doctor's degree." The motion passed. Professor Reed moved that action on this report be deferred until the June meeting and that the regular June meeting be held on June 16, 1959 instead of June 9, 1959. The motion passed.

President-elect Miller next addressed the Senate briefly.

The meeting adjourned at 5:02 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,  

James L. Edwards  
Secretary
Memorandum to all Senate Members and Department Heads:

The regular monthly meeting of the Faculty Senate will be held Tuesday afternoon, February 10, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall.

The agenda will include the following topics:

1. report from the Welfare Committee regarding the study of faculty recreation
2. report from Professor George Bair regarding the status of the R. F. Poole Memorial Scholarship Fund.

James L. Edwards
Secretary
Faculty Senate of Clemson College

Minutes of the Thirty-Ninth Meeting - January 13, 1959

The thirty-ninth meeting of the Faculty Senate was held January 13, 1959 at 4 p.m. in Room 200, Olin Hall, President Schirmer presiding.

The minutes of the thirty-seventh meeting were approved. The minutes of the thirty-eighth meeting were approved as corrected.

President Schirmer welcomed Professor C. B. Green to the meeting. He then read letters from Professors J. C. Cook, C. B. Green and C. M. Jones regarding their selection and/or attendance as faculty representatives at the Sugar Bowl festivities in New Orleans on January 1, 1959.

President Schirmer read a letter from Dean Kinard dated December 10, 1958 regarding the status of recommendations made by the Faculty Senate to the Educational Council. A copy of this letter is appended to these minutes. In regard to the proposed patent policy, the letter stated that final action had been deferred by the Council until additional information could be obtained about the foundation as proposed therein. Professor Robinson agreed to meet with the proper officials to explain the purpose and functions of this foundation.

Professor Thurston was recognized for a progress report on the study of faculty recreation as made by the Welfare Committee.

President Schirmer then recognized Professor Whitney who read to the meeting a questionnaire regarding honorary degrees and awards which is being circulated to other institutions by the Policy Committee.

Professor C. B. Green was then recognized for a report on the revision of the student personnel rating card as studied by the Admissions & Scholarship Committee. This report was accepted with the recommendation that no action be taken at this time.

Professor Reed next reported that future studies of the Admissions & Scholarship Committee would include such topics as academic scholarships, student counseling and class advising, preregistration, and class scheduling.

President Schirmer then recognized Professor Coker who stated that at the present time the study of the major medical insurance plan was inactive because of an apparent lack of interest among the college faculty and staff.

President Schirmer next mentioned receipt of a letter from President King of the Research Council regarding retirement benefits for employees who die while in the service of the institution. He then requested Professor Thurston to appoint a member of the Welfare Committee to meet with the proper group in the Research Council to study this matter.
Faculty Senate
Minutes of the Thirty-Ninth Meeting
January 13, 1959

The meeting adjourned at 5:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Edwards
Secretary
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