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Background
Enguserosambu Community Forest is a community managed forest in northern Tanzania. It is located along prime tourist attractions such as Ngorongoro Conservation Area, Serengeti National Park and Lake Natron. For over two decades, conflict surrounding land and natural resource use in Loliondo have dramatically increased, raising concern for the long-term conservation of biodiversity in the area. Maasai communities within Serengeti-Mara ecosystem have occupied the area since the 15th C. long before Serengeti was declared a national park (Homewood & Rodgers, 1991). Maasai pastoralism has for centuries co-existed along side spectacular wildlife populations in and around the ecosystem (Thompson & Homewood, 2002). Recently, the changing economic atmosphere due to increased investors in wildlife resources around the area has resulted in the ignorance of local resource use practices in favor of land use with more tangible short term economic returns. An understanding of the indigenous knowledge system that Enguserosambu communities use to protect the forest as well as their culture and lifestyle is crucial in appreciating its role in managing the forest resources for the benefit of the ecosystem and the people.

Study Site
Tanzania

Methods
Four villages; Naan, Ng’arwa, Enguserosambu and Orkiu Juu were surveyed. Purposive sampling was used to obtain research participants. A total of 57 individuals were involved out of which 19 were females. Customary elders, forest user groups, NGO’s, community conservation trust, village government and district forest officials were among research participants. NVivo 10 was used for thematic analysis of interviews.

To empirically test effectiveness of indigenous knowledge, land cover analysis for the period of 15 years was conducted. Two Landsat imagery of 2000 and 2015 were used for comparison. UTM Zone 36 WGS 84 projection was used. Unsupervised image classification was applied based on spectral differences in the imagery using cluster module in ArcGIS 10.2. Visual interpretation was applied to classify image to different land cover categories. Change detection was then performed to compare the land cover change between selected study period.

Results – Knowledge and Institution
Enguserosambu Forest hold a special value to the community
❖ It is a spiritual place
❖ Source of livelihood
❖ Place where cultural celebrations are held
❖ Dry season grazing ground

Knowledge sharing mechanisms
❖ Age – group meetings
❖ Traditional ceremonies
❖ Cultural bomas

Forest management practices
❖ Fencing of important areas
❖ Forest patrol
❖ Land use plan
❖ Enforcing traditional laws

Local institutions
❖ Complex set of indigenous and local institutions
❖ Forest patrol among the tasks
❖ Establish and enforce both traditional and forest bylaws
❖ Capacity building to communities

Land Cover Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use category</th>
<th>2000 (ha)</th>
<th>2015 (ha)</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rivers and Streams</td>
<td>5884</td>
<td>4145</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>25448</td>
<td>23489</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered Trees</td>
<td>17886</td>
<td>18640</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>16964</td>
<td>28423</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Ground</td>
<td>21307</td>
<td>12792</td>
<td>-39.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Land Change Detection Map 2000 - 2015
Five land cover types were identified
❖ Rivers and streams
❖ Forest
❖ Scattered trees
❖ Grassland
❖ Open Ground

Challenges
❖ Population Increase
❖ Livestock Increase
❖ Illegal activities e.g. fire and logging
❖ Encroachment
❖ Increased agricultural activities
❖ Change in lifestyle
❖ Uncontrolled resource access

Discussion and Conclusion
Communities through their institutions are capable of making necessary arrangements with regards to resource utilization and management.
Despit ongoing power struggle, all institutions participate in forest patrol as a means to safeguard forest resources from poachers.
Land use system is undergoing dynamic change due to change in socio-economic activities as well as population increase in the area.
Forest alone cannot sustainably provide communities with basic needs, an alternative livelihood source need to be put in place.
Despite having clear traditional rules and practices, forest cover change persist.
Communities are aware of forest cover changes - similar to what is observed on land cover change analysis.
Policy geared towards nurturing, capacity building and social capital improvement to the community is important to ensure that their conservation efforts are sustainable and results are felt both at local and national level.
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