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This thesis presents an artistic approach of creating collapsing sand effects in Brown Bag Films’ animated short, *An Ode To Love*, directed by Matthew Darragh. A combination of rigid body simulation and fluid simulation tools, which are available in Houdini 3D animation software version 13, was used to successfully complete the task. A detailed design and implementation process to achieve the effects is documented in this work.
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A small team of artists at Brown Bag Films, led by Matthew Darragh, recently produced an animated short, *An Ode To Love* (Figure 1.1). Since the story is set on a remote sandy island, numerous shots involved the character interacting closely with sand. Many technical challenges arose during the production process, with the collapsing sand mound scene one of the most difficult. Because of limited production time and computing resources available, as well as specific art direction, a fast and effective technique was required to handle the sand effects. After examining works related to sand animation in computer graphics, an artistic approach, referred to as “hacks,” was developed to complete the collapsing sand effects needed for the film.
Creating a natural phenomenon such as sand dynamics requires research and development for computer graphics due to its complex material properties. Sand can behave like particles, with each individual grain moving independently in microscale when observed closely. From a distance, the aggregate of thousands or even millions of sand particles seem to behave as either a viscous fluid or a shape-shifting deformable object. It can flow from higher ground to lower ground under the influence of gravity, or can smoothly deform when stepped upon.

Many attempts have been made to recreate the dynamics of sand in the virtual world of computer graphics. In academia, Bell et al. used simplified particle- and rigid-body systems to imitate a small amount of sand movement [BYM05]. The technique was accurate; however, it could not be scaled adequately for large scenes due to the heavy computational requirements. Zhu et al., on the other hand, took a different approach, extending a fluid solver to simulate sand in large-scale environments [ZB05]. The outcome was impressive with relatively accurate results for large amounts of sand.

In visual effects and computer animation productions, one of the early notable sand simulations appears in *Spider-Man 3*, created by a team at Sony Pictures Imageworks [ABC+07]. They applied the “tricks” of particles and rigid-body simulation available at the time to create the Sandman, in both human-sized form (Figure 1.2) and a 60-foot-tall version.
For the collapsing sand shot in *An Ode To Love*, a video reference was used that depicted a sand castle breaking into chunks before turning into small sand particles (Figure 1.3). No off-the-shelf tool could produce the work according to the director’s vision right out of the box. Further, the time and resources for research to develop a generalized standalone sand simulation system was not worth the effort since the collapsing sand would appear in only one shot. As a result, the inspiration from the aforementioned works, Zhu’s fluid approach in particular, was utilized in Houdini software to complete the effects. Ultimately, a mix of rigid-body simulation and fluid simulation tools were used to achieve the desired look and feel.
Figure 1.3: Video reference of the collapsing sand effects in An Ode To Love [San14a]
CHAPTER TWO
BACKGROUND

Past notable works in sand animation, such as *Particle-Based Simulation of Granular Materials* by Bell et al. [BYM05], and *Animating Sand as a Fluid* by Zhu et al. [ZB05], are good starting points for our collapsing sand effects. Next, the basic understanding of fluid simulation and state-of-the-art Fluid-Implicit-Particle (FLIP) method will be covered with the goal of modifying existing Houdini FLIP simulation tools for the desired sand behavior. Finally, the popular Bullet Physics Engine and a destruction pipeline for visual effects will be briefly introduced. These two techniques are effective in creating art-directable destruction sequences.

2.1 Particle-Based Simulation of Granular Materials

Bell et al. approached the sand and general granular material simulation with discrete representations [BYM05]. Individual sand particles were modeled as small sphere primitives and simulated with a simplified rigid-body system. Molecular dynamics was used to handle particle-particle interaction. Particles were allowed to collide and intersect, while the penetration depth was used to compute interaction forces. Due to the spherical representations, defining a resting state or exhibiting a simple natural phenomenon such as a snow pile was difficult. To avoid such problems, the paper introduced tetrahedron structural forces between particles to increase stability. To interact
with rigid-body objects, the paper also converted collision objects into structures of similar spherical representation by sampling particles on the surfaces of the object.

This particle-based simulation of sand successfully depicted sand behavior (Figure 2.1) but suffered from scaling issues. The system could only handle particles numbering in the 100,000s, a volume that would not be suitable for use with large simulation scenes.

![Image](image.jpg)

**Figure 2.1**: Result from *Particle-Based Simulation of Granular Materials* by Bell et al. [BYM05]

### 2.2 Animating Sand as a Fluid

Zhu et al. took a continuum approach to the sand simulation problem [ZB05], and extended an existing fluid simulation model, which will be explained in a later section. A sand friction solver was added after the advection, force and pressure solvers in the simulation steps. The system estimated the two cases of sand acting as solid or fluid, converted them to deformation functions, and decided upon the solution that satisfied the yield conditions.
This approach could handle a large-scale simulation with believable results (Figure 2.2); nevertheless, it could not accurately simulate some scenarios such as a simple hour glass. On the positive side, the system is fast and robust.

2.3 Fluid Simulation in Computer Graphics

Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are the partial differential equations governing most of the fluid simulation models and act as starting points of most fluid solvers. The equation can be expressed as follow:

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \rho = \vec{g} + \nu \nabla \cdot \nabla \vec{u}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0
\]

where

\(\vec{u}\) is velocity
\(t\) is time
\(\rho\) is pressure
\(\vec{g}\) is external force
\(\nu\) is viscosity
Detailed explanation of Navier-Stokes equations can be found in [BM07]. A thorough understanding of the mathematics behind the equations is not required for this work; however, a basic comprehension of the theory that translates into off-the-shelf fluid solvers is helpful to fine-tune the simulation toward the desired art direction.

Based on Navier-Stokes equations, early attempts at fluid simulation belonged to one of the two approaches: particle-based or grid-based. Both have advantages and disadvantages for certain types of fluid phenomena. Hybrid methods combine points and grids into more generalized fluid solvers such as Particle-In-Cell (PIC) and Fluid Implicit-Particles (FLIP).

Particle-based Fluid Simulation, or the Lagrangian viewpoint, simulates fluid as discrete blobs or molecules of the fluid. The physical information of the fluid is stored in these molecule particles. While the technique is fast and simple, it is not as accurate as the grid-based technique. This approach represents the particle-like behavior of the fluid, such as splashes and droplets, efficiently. The well-known Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) technique [Mon92] is a subclass of this viewpoint.

Grid-based Fluid Simulation, or the Eulerian viewpoint, in contrast to the previous method, stores and tracks the physical information of the fluid in a fixed-point grid. With this approach, numerical derivatives, such as pressure gradient and viscosity, are easy to compute, yielding higher accuracy, but often suffer from mass loss. This approach represents the smooth surface behavior of a fluid in an effective manner. Figure 2.3 shows the comparison of the particle representation and the grid representation of a fluid.
Particle-In-Cell (PIC)[Har64], an early hybrid technique, combines particles and grids to solve a particular type of partial differential equation and works well with fluid simulation. The advection step is handled by particles, while other aspects are handled by grids. At each simulation step, a grid is initialized with new data such as velocity, based on the particles within the cell, after which particles are advected to new positions from the updated velocity grids. The main issue with PIC is the large amount of numerical dissipation due to repetitive averaging and interpolation.

Fluid-Implicit-Particles (FLIP), developed by Brackbill and Ruppel [BR86], is an attempt to solve the excessive diffusion in PIC. The main difference is FLIP stores most of the fluid data in particles, but also constructs auxiliary grids. In each time step, the information in the particles is not averaged or interpolated, but rather updated through the use of the grids. Jeff Lait, a senior mathematician at Side Effects, stated,

When FLIP fluids are solved, a temporary velocity field is made. The particle velocities are transferred to this grid and the grid is used to perform the fluid projection. This is what prevents the particles from all going on top of each other and start moving in similar directions. FLIP fluids are also useful because particles can be placed on top of each other without destabilizing the system [Sey11c].
The FLIP solver was included in Houdini 11, released in 2010.

2.4 Rigid-Body Simulation in Computer Graphics

Rigid-body simulation techniques, used widely in the game and visual effects industries, range from proprietary physics engines, such as PhysX by Nvidia [PhX14] and Havok Physics by Havok [Hav14], to specialized multiphysics simulation libraries, such as PhysBAM by Stanford [PhB14], to open-source solutions, such as Open Dynamics [Ope07] Engine and Bullet Physics Engine [Rea14].

Bullet Physics Engine has become the most popular choice for more than half a decade due to its robust convex hull collision detection, versatile constraint system allowing easy art direction, and active open-source development community [BZC+11]. It was created by Erwin Coumans in 2006 with open-source Zlib licensing and mainly used in game engines. The movie 2012, released in 2009, was a milestone for Bullet Physics Engine in the visual effects community due to its impressive large-scale destruction sequences created by Digital Domain (Figure 2.4). This movie marked the first time Bullet Physics Engine had been adopted in such scale and detail in a feature film. The potential of Bullet Physics Engine did not go unnoticed. Other visual effects companies jumped onto the bandwagon and destruction sequences created with Bullet Physics Engine showed up in films such as Inception, Prince of Persia, X-Men: First Class, Deathly Hallows Parts 1 and 2 and more. Another key advantage of Bullet Physics Engine is its open-source nature. Visual effects studios can access the low-level source code, optimizing and integrating it into their existing destruction pipelines.
2.5 Destruction Pipeline

Although the work of this thesis was performed with the Bullet Physics Engine implementation in Houdini, the following destruction pipeline can generally be applied to other rigid-body simulation techniques.

The three main stages of a destruction pipeline typically include:

i) Geometry Preparation Artists pre-fracture rigid-body objects. The Voronoi Diagram shown in Figure 2.5 is a popular fracturing technique because of its simple algorithm and natural appearance in the final result. Featured points are created on the object either by a random process or artistic control for a desired pattern; the object is then fractured at locations equidistant from these featured points.
Bullet Physics Engine utilizes convex hull collision detection for fast and robust simulation. As a result, it does not handle concave objects effectively. Such concave objects must be decomposed into smaller convex objects and glued together even though they are used solely for collisions. Voronoi subdivision technique can also be used to accomplish this goal (Figure 2.6).
ii) Constraints and Choreography After being fractured, these small broken pieces are connected together to define a constraint network. The constraints are usually automatically generated, but artists can also add creative touches to define how the object will be broken during the simulation. The destruction can then be animated or choreographed based on the properties of the constraints. Properties such as strength (if the object will be broken based on an impulse during the simulation time), and time until active (control how the crack will progress), can be painted on the object by artists. Figure 2.7 is an example of multi-level constraint networks used to choreograph destruction of a house.
iii) **Simulation and Rendering** Fractured rigid-body objects and constraints are presented in the simulation in low resolution for performance, either with point clouds or convex hull objects depending on the implementation of the system and desired details in the simulation result. Collision detection is divided into two phases of coarse-and-fast *broadphase* and precise-and-slow *narrowphase*. Some acceleration structures, such as axis-aligned bounding boxes and convex hull bounding, are used in the broadphase. The glued objects can also be presented with a single bounding object before they are broken apart. The actual high-polygon-count fractured pieces can be used in narrowphase for better details [BZC+11]. These low-resolution representations will be swapped with high-resolution fractured pieces either after the simulation or during render time.
CHAPTER THREE
IMPLEMENTATION

The sand simulation work was created in Houdini 3D animation software, version 13.0.401. With the procedural nature of Houdini, countless possibilities exist to complete a certain task. For the collapsing shot, the art direction prescribed the following sequence, as depicted in Figure 3.1:

i) Break the sand mound into chunks, with each chunk falling in succession for a few beats; then, the rest of the mound will fall as one piece.

ii) As each chunk falls, it will be broken further into sand particles.

Although a good deal of trial-and-error was involved in the development process of this method, the only workflow presented is the one that provided the best result and was approved by the director.

Figure 3.1: Art keys of the collapsing sand by Rianti Hidayat
3.1 Workflow

A combination of rigid-body simulation and fluid simulation were used to create the collapsing sand effects. The overall workflow (Figure 3.2) appears simple and straightforward, but many small fine-tuning steps were required in each stage to achieve proper timing and motion of the effects; these steps will be covered in the following sections.

![Workflow Diagram]

Figure 3.2: Workflow for creating collapsing effects
3.2 Fractures Preparation

Similar to the preparation required for other destruction scenes in visual effects production, the original geometry in the scene needed to be pre-fractured for the desired art-directed collapsing pattern. The Voronoi fracture technique was used to create fractured geometries to feed into the rigid-body simulation. Feature points were scattered on the surface of the geometry based on surface area, or scattered inside the geometry based on volume density.

Fracturing was automated by a Voronoi fracture node in Houdini shown in Figure 3.3, which could be used intuitively to determine how the geometry would be broken apart. The result could be modified further by translating or rotating the feature point.
group. The fractured pieces, however, often exhibited perfectly straight edges and uniform sizes which were uninteresting from an artistic standpoint (Figure 3.4).

![Figure 3.4: Uninteresting fractures with straight edges](image)

To avoid such issues, the sand mound geometry was first separated into inner and outer pieces. As observed from multiple videos references, when a sand mound collapses, chunks of sand are usually peeled off in layers with the outermost chunks falling off first (Figure 3.5).
To achieve this goal, the inner piece of the sand mound geometry was first subdivided into large chunks (Figure 3.6). This process was chosen largely due to artistic decisions, since at the end of the collapse, all the sand would fall out of the shot at the same time. Grouping these inner fractures into a small number of large pieces facilitated a simpler and more effective approach.
For the outer piece of the sand mound geometry, the volume was broken into small child pieces and large parent pieces in parallel. The child pieces were then grouped together based on proximity to the parent pieces. The result was grouped chunks with interesting imperfect edges (Figure 3.7). Later, the outer and inner fractures were combined and ready to be simulated in the next stage. Figure 3.8 shows the resulting Houdini network used for the fracture preparation stage.
Figure 3.7: Child pieces (bottom left) grouped together based on proximity to parents (top left)
Figure 3.8: Houdini network for the fractures preparation stage
3.3 Rigid–Body Simulation

Bullet Physics Engine was the preferred rigid-body solver for this work due to its simplicity, performance and ease of control. The fractures were imported as inactive rigid-body objects that were activated manually based on art direction. In this shot, the coconut shell scraped the top of the sand mound; hence, the group of fractured pieces on the top in contact with the coconut shell should be active first. After activation, the objects were simulated with gravity and an additional nudging force. The remaining inactive objects acted as collision objects until they were activated. Figure 3.9 shows the rigid-body objects activation control network.

Figure 3.9: Rigid-body objects activation control network
Activation order was choreographed manually. A representation point encoded with activation time was created for each parent group. The activation range was mapped into a canonical space from zero to one and could be modified to tweak the simulation result. These control points were imported to the simulation to activate the rigid-body objects in a pre-designed destruction order as shown in Figure 3.10.
One problem with the Bullet Physics Engine was that collision detection could be sensitive at times, causing the simulation to become explosive. In general, Bullet Physics Engine works well with most destruction setups, but for this collapsing sand simulation, the collision condition needed to be relaxed and revised with velocity modifications as shown in Figure 3.11. The result from the rigid-body solver was cached, but some additional preparation was needed before passing it to the fluid simulation.

Figure 3.11: Relaxing the collision condition inside the rigid-body simulation network
3.4 Fluid Preparation

Each parent group of the fractures was activated one-by-one, with geometries in each group falling from the original sand mound geometry at the same time. Geometry pieces associated with each group stayed together as rigid bodies at the beginning of the fall, and gradually broke apart into sand particles. The continuity and timing of converting each rigid-body into particles was crucial to the overall believability of the effects. To blend the two states of the sand, each broken piece was fractured to even smaller pieces. Particle activation time (not to be confused with rigid-body activation time in a previous stage) was encoded into the new pieces. The new fluid activation time was randomized from the moment the rigid-body was activated to a few frames later.

When a rigid-body object was activated to be converted to fluid particles, points were scattered inside the geometry and fed into the fluid solver as a fluid particle source. This newly created source emitted fluid particles to the fluid simulation in only a single frame, and the original rigid-body object was simultaneously removed from the system to avoid discontinuity. This workflow created nicely overlapped state transitions with the illusion of sand chunks being cracked before broken into sand particles (Figure 3.13). The Houdini network to control this technique is shown in Figure 3.14.
3.5 Fluid Simulation

The Fluid Implicit Particle (FLIP) technique has been implemented as the main fluid solver in Houdini software since version 11. In FLIP, fluid data is carried by particles with auxiliary grids constructed in each time step to update the particle group velocity. A few tweaks were applied to the standard FLIP simulation in Houdini to make the particles behave like sand in this simulation.
Firstly, particle reseeding was deactivated as shown in Figure 3.14. As the fluid surface is constructed based on particle density and particle size, reseeding helps create a smooth fluid surface by generating more particles if the density in a voxel drops too low, and removing particles if the density becomes too high. If the particles are not meshed as a fluid surface, however, reseeding results in particles popping in and out. For the purpose of our sand simulation, the fluid surface information was ignored and only output particles were used for rendering; therefore, reseeding was deactivated to avoid visible pops.

Secondly, viscosity was used to control particle-particle interaction. This feature is the major advantage of using a fluid simulation over a regular particle simulation for sand. The final amount of viscosity used in this simulation was determined purely as an artistic choice.
Figure 3.15: Inactive rigid-body objects and active fluid objects used as collision volumes in FLIP

Lastly, inactive rigid-body objects and active rigid-body objects that were not yet transformed into fluid particles were brought into the fluid simulation as collision objects (Figure 3.15). In early development, inactive objects were imported as fluid particles with high viscosity for convenience and speed, but the result was not acceptable visually. An effective way to handle collisions in FLIP was to convert rigid-body objects into signed distance field volumes to be used in the velocity projection step. Converting objects to volumes was computation-intensive and time-consuming, but collision handling was fast and accurate.

### 3.6 Rendering

Rendering was divided into two parts: rendering rigid-body objects as sand chunks, and rendering fluid particles as moving sand.
For sand chunks, dense particles were scattered inside rigid-body objects with randomized sizes and colors. The scattering algorithm in Houdini worked well with static objects, but scattered points changed position in each frame the base object moved. These issues were resolved by first scattering points on a geometry component at rest. Once the base geometry was moved, a transformation object was extracted by comparing the moving geometry with the rest geometry, and this resultant transformation object was applied to the scattered points. The transformation was handled by a lattice deformer node inside Houdini (Figure 3.16).

![Image](image.jpg)

**Figure 3.16**: Keeping fracturing and scattering particles consistent and avoiding pops with a lattice deformer

For the moving sand, simulated particles were taken from the fluid simulation with surface information removed. New size and color attributes were added to the
particles in a similar fashion to those on the sand chunks. Particles on sand chunks and moving sand were then rendered as spheres with motion blur (Figures 3.17 and 3.18).

Figure 3.17: Randomizing color and size of the particles

Figure 3.18: Sand mound with uniform color and size (left) and randomized color and size (right)
3.7 Extra Sand Particles

Finally, extra sand particles were simulated with a regular particle solver and added on top of the sand mound when the coconut shell was moved and lifted (Figure 3.19).

Figure 3.19: Extra sand particles to be added on top of the collapsing sand effects
CHAPTER FOUR

RESULTS

To exhibit the function of each stage in the collapsing sand effects workflow, the results from rigid-body and fluid simulations are separated in Figures 4.1 and 4.2. These two figures can be compared to observe the timing of sand chunks being removed from the rigid-body simulation and converted to sand particles in the fluid simulation simultaneously. The two results were eventually seamlessly combined (Figure 4.3) and rendered together for the final images in Figures 4.4a and 4.4b.
Figure 4.1: Result from rigid-body simulation
Figure 4.2: Result from fluid simulation
Figure 4.3: Combined result from rigid-body simulation and fluid simulation
Figure 4.4a: Final image from *An Ode To Love* (lighting pending)
Figure 4.4b: Final image from *An Ode To Love* (lighting pending)
Although the collapsing sand effects were successfully accomplished, many refinements could be made to the workflow. A short-term improvement would be to simplify the system and package it into a single asset that could be reused for similar effects in future productions. Other improvements include improving performance and generalizing the technique.

5.1 Easier Controls

The fine-tuning steps in the pipeline, such as destruction choreography, could be controlled by more intuitive graphical means such as activating the rigid-body and fluid objects with animated sphere primitives. This feature was originally part of the system design, but due to lack of testing time, the early development of such visual controls could not produce adequate results with precise timing, and were eventually replaced with manual key-framing. With enough development time, the overall controls of the system could be improved for frequent use by general artists without the need of going through the underlying mechanics.

5.2 Better Performance

Heavy computation during the fluid simulation phase could be optimized, mitigating hardware and time constraints. The current simulation required the full
capacity of a Hewlett-Packard HP Z620 workstation with dual socket3.30GHz Intel Xeon E5-2643 processors and 32 GB of memory. Despite caching in various stages of the pipeline, simulating and rendering the resultant animation still required up to six hours. Many optimizations, such as automated domain resizing and occlusion culling, could be implemented to further enhance performance, shortening the turnaround time for practical everyday productions.

5.3 More Generalized Sand Solver

Ambitious future work for this project would be to research and develop a more generalized sand solver that could handle various types and scales of sand effects. Many early approaches to sand animation were specialized for certain types of sand phenomena and some suffered from scaling. A potential technique that could be extended to handle general sand effects is the material point method. Stomakhin et al. developed a material point method to create realistic snow effects for the blockbuster feature animation, Frozen[SSC+11] (Figure 5.1). Snow and sand have similar dual material properties, namely both rigid-body and particle-like behaviors. A different extension of the material point method could yield a robust generalized sand solver for any sand effect in digital productions.
Figure 5.1: Result from *A Material Point Method for Snow Simulation* by Stomakhin et al. [SSC+11]
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