1-2015

Tracking subsurface ion radiation damage with metal–oxide–semiconductor device encapsulation

Dhruva Kulkarni

Clemson University, dkulkar@clemson.edu

Radhey E. Shyam

Clemson University

Daniel B. Cutshall

Clemson University

Daniel A. Field

Clemson University

James E. Harriss

Clemson University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/physastro_pubs

Recommended Citation

Please use the publisher's recommended citation. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-materials-research/article/tracking-subsurface-ion-radiation-damage-with-metaloxidesemiconductor-device-encapsulation/16996E4330E169D6DF1549A2F05F55F5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy at TigerPrints. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of TigerPrints. For more information, please contact kokeefe@clemson.edu.

Authors

Dhruva Kulkarni, Radhey E. Shyam, Daniel B. Cutshall, Daniel A. Field, James E. Harriss, William R. Harrell, and Chad E. Sosolik

Tracking Subsurface Ion Radiation Damage with MOS Device Encapsulation

D.D. Kulkarni^{*}, R.E. Shyam^{*}, D.B. Cutshall[†], D.A. Field^{*}, J.E. Harriss^{*}, W.R. Harrell[†] and C.E. Sosolik^{*}

^{*} Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634 USA

[†] Holcombe Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Clemson Univ., Clemson, SC, 29634 USA

Abstract. We describe measurements aimed at tracking the subsurface energy deposition of ionic radiation by encapsulating an irradiated oxide target within multiple, spatially-separated metal-oxide semiconductor (MOS) capacitors. In particular we look at incident kinetic energy and potential energy effects in the low keV regime for alkali ions (Na^+) and multicharged ions (MCIs) of Ar^{Q+} ($Q = 1, 4, 8, 11$) incident on as-grown layers of SiO_2 on Si. With the irradiated oxide encapsulated under Al top contacts, we record an electronic signature of the incident ionic radiation through capacitance-voltage (C-V) measurements. Both kinetic and potential energy deposition give rise to shifted C-V signatures that can be directly related to internal electron-hole pair excitations. The MCI data reveal an apparent power law dependence on charge state which is at odds with some prior thin foil studies obtained at higher incident energies.

I. Introduction

Test and commercial-level fusion reactor designs contain materials to fuel the fusion reaction (e.g., deuterium and tritium) as well as materials to confine the reaction, which can include both the magnetic confinement components and the plasma-facing walls (first wall and diverter). [1] For these walls or plasma-facing materials (PFMs), considerable effort has gone into their evaluation given that they must endure impacts from a wide range of radiation sources: neutrons, alpha particles, electrons, ions, and electromagnetic radiation (IR, UV, visible, and x-ray). [2] For ions, this evaluation has focused primarily on their role in the sputtering of material

away from the wall, which can inject impurities into the fusion plasma or trap fuel components within re-deposited wall layers. Sputtering of PFMs, however, is an inherently above-surface aspect of the ion-target interaction, and it ignores routes for below-surface energy deposition by the ions. Although subsurface energy deposition does not directly influence the fusion reaction, the overall energy budget of the PFM and its ability to withstand thermal cycling must account for this route of energy transfer.

For singly charged ions, subsurface energy deposition has been extensively studied and can be reliably calculated using stopping power ($S(E)$) formulations which, depending on the ion velocity, manifest as either nuclear stopping ($S_n(E)$) or electronic stopping ($S_e(E)$). The readily available code SRIM incorporates this route effectively and can be configured for most ion-target combinations. [3] In a man-made fusion reaction, however, other ion charge states will appear, much as they do in the natural fusion reactions of the stellar environment. [4-6] A significant amount of the energy transported by these ions can shift, as a function of charge state Q , from the ion's kinetic energy to its potential energy. The dissipation of an ion's potential energy upon impact with a PFM is not a simple process, as it can begin well outside the target material through electron transfer and secondary deexcitations (electron and photon) and can continue as the ion penetrates the target and slows in the subsurface region. Significant post-mortem analysis has focused on the above-surface components of this energy dissipation, looking at surface feature formation and sputtering. [7-15] Similarly, the above-surface charge exchange component has been successfully treated through the so-called over-the-barrier model. [16-20] Below the surface, however, little data or theoretical treatments exist, and those that do point to a Q -dependent role for the ion stopping which has not been explored in depth. [21-23]

In the work presented here, we seek to demonstrate that semiconductor device platforms can be utilized to track subsurface energy deposition by ions, in particular for multiply charged ions (MCIs). Semiconductor devices have a long history in radiation effects testing, which was indirectly initiated by U.S. and Soviet high-altitude nuclear detonation tests in the early 1960s. These nuclear tests led to the failure of a communications satellite, Telstar I, whose onboard transistor operation had been altered by increased levels of radiation. Ground-based efforts at understanding this failure led to a successful repair scheme that involved modifying the bias protocol for the satellite's on-board transistors. While the satellite ultimately failed due to further radiation exposure, the experience contributed to a shift from the traditional approach of making radiation-effects studies on bulk properties of semiconductor materials and devices towards directed efforts at understanding the effects of radiation on the operational characteristics of these devices.

For satellite systems, the move in technology from bipolar transistors to metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) also shifted the emphasis on radiation effects studies. Metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) devices in particular were found to be a powerful tool to study the effects of radiation. For example, changes observed in MOS capacitor threshold and flatband voltages led to the conclusion that the major effects of radiation on these devices was the buildup of positive charge in the oxide which was able to drift under an applied electric field. By examining silicon-based MOS structures under many types of radiation (Co_{60} γ rays, low energy electrons, high energy electrons, ultraviolet rays and x-rays) it was concluded that any ionizing radiation with an energy greater than the bandgap of SiO_2 ($\sim 8\text{eV}$) leads to the buildup of positive space charge within the oxide and the creation of interface states at the oxide/semiconductor interface.

While multiply charged ions can be more accurately described as “ionized radiation” they too can generate electron-hole pairs and lead to charge buildup within an MOS structure. In the sections that follow we describe an experimental setup aimed at tracking the dependence of ion-induced radiation effects on the ion energy and charge state for embedded insulators within MOS devices. We use both singly charged and multiply charged ions for this study, with the MCIs coming from a new electron beam ion trap or EBIT ion source at Clemson University. As these data show, there occurs within an irradiated MOS structure a spatially-dependent shift in the capacitance-voltage (C-V) signature that is correlated with the current density profile of the incident ion beam. These results are consistent with internal radiation damage inflicted by the ions, and by tracking this damage for different energies and charge states, we find that the MOS can record the subsurface component of the kinetic and potential energy dissipation for incident ionic radiation.

II. Experiment

We have probed radiation damage in oxides due to low energy ion impacts by measuring capacitance-voltage (C-V) characteristics of MOS devices. Specifically, we have irradiated as-grown oxide-on-semiconductor samples (SiO_2/Si) and then encapsulated them post-irradiation with metal dots to fabricate MOS devices ($\text{Al}/\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}$). Irradiations of the oxides focused on separate investigations of ion kinetic energy effects for focused beams of singly charged ions in the few keV range and the ion potential energy effects for MCIs with a fixed kinetic energy. Below we describe our multi-step sample fabrication-irradiation-encapsulation procedure and give details of the irradiation and characterization techniques used.

Fabrication

Raw materials (3-inch p-type Si <100> wafers) were purchased from Silica-Source, Inc. with resistivities of 1-10 Ω -cm. Prior to the SiO₂ oxide growth these wafers were cleaned to remove any organic surface contaminants. The cleaning procedure was a standard RCA clean (1:1:5 solution of NH₄OH + H₂O₂ + H₂O) for five minutes under ultrasonic agitation. The cleaned surface was then etched with dilute 1% HF for two minutes to remove any native oxide followed by a triple rinse in deionized water for a total of six minutes. A thick oxide layer was grown on these cleaned wafers in an oxidation furnace at 1000 °C under steam flow. For the singly and multiply charged ion irradiations the oxide thicknesses were 1900 Å (1887 Å +/- 43 Å) and 1750 Å (1746 Å +/- 41 Å), respectively. Following the oxide layer growth, a metal film was deposited on the backside of the wafer as an Ohmic contact. The Ohmic contact deposition involved etching the wafer backside with 1% HF solution to remove any native oxide and then growing a 0.5 μ m Al contact using a thermal evaporator. The contact was sintered at 450 °C in a nitrogen environment. The completed wafers were diced into 12 mm square samples to conform to the sample mounting requirements of our ion beamline setups.

Singly Charged Ion Irradiation

Singly charged Na⁺ ions were used to irradiate the 1900 Å SiO₂ samples at kinetic energies of 1 keV - 5 keV to investigate MOS device sensitivity to kinetic energy induced damage. The ion source and beamline used to carry out these irradiations is described in detail elsewhere. [24] Briefly, the Na⁺ ions were obtained from an aluminosilicate emitter (Heatwave Labs, Inc.) by thermionic emission which was mounted in a custom-built ion source. The SiO₂ samples were mounted in the beamline just beyond the ion source section on a translator that was custom-designed for these irradiations. The sample mount included a plate with two holes of 0.25"

diameter which could be moved into the path of the beam. The first hole on the plate served as a mask for the irradiation, with the sample mounted directly behind it. The second hole served as an initial focus point for the beam, where the ion source's einzel lens and Wien filter were used to focus the ion beam into a Faraday cup mounted behind the plate. During initial setup, a beam viewer was temporarily placed in the Faraday cup position to determine the spatial profile of the ion beam. A circular current density profile which approximately matched the diameter of the focusing aperture within the sample plate was obtained in this way. The total beam current was ~ 5 nA and the on-sample doses for the irradiations were in the range of $6 \times 10^{12} - 8 \times 10^{12}$ ions/cm². The pressure in the source and beamline during these irradiations was 5×10^{-7} Torr. Following each irradiation, the SiO₂ target was removed from the beamline so that MOS top contacts of Al could be deposited in a thermal evaporator. We note that the deposition, which occurred at a pressure of 1×10^{-6} Torr, led to a sample frontside temperature no higher than 80°C, as based on prior characterization measurements. For these depositions, a custom-built mask was used which placed four Al top contacts in the central, irradiated region and four Al top contacts in the corner, unirradiated regions of the target, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The diameter of the metal dots is 1 mm, and the dots in the central, irradiated region are placed symmetrically at a distance of 1 mm from the center.

Multiply Charged Ion Irradiation

Irradiations of the 1750 Å SiO₂ samples by MCIs were carried out in the CUEBIT facility at Clemson University, which is described in detail in Ref. [25]. For these irradiations, the SiO₂ targets were exposed to focused beams of Ar^{Q+} with charge states of $Q=1,4,8$, and 11. The potential energy of these ions, which is the sum of the ionization energies of the electrons that have been removed from the neutral Ar atom, vary from 15 eV ($Q=1$) to 2004 eV ($Q=11$).

During the irradiation process, the targets were load-locked into the target region of the CUEBIT beamline (base pressure 1×10^{-8} Torr). Argon ions of the desired charge state were selected by an analyzing dipole magnet and then transported down the beamline to the target area for the irradiation. As the cross-section for charge transfer for MCIs is three to four orders of magnitude higher than that for singly charged ions, the pressure in the beamline was maintained in the low 10^{-9} Torr range to avoid neutralization during transport. Space charge spreading of the beam was also avoided by floating the beamline to a transport voltage (-3kV) and then decelerating the ions at the entry point to the target chamber using a custom-designed deceleration lens (Dreebit, GmbH). The kinetic energy for all of the incident charge states was fixed at 1 keV. Beam currents at the target position were measured using a Faraday cup mounted in the sample plane and were found to vary for different charge states from tens of pA for $Q=11$ to ~100 pA for $Q=4$ and $Q=8$. As in the singly charged ion irradiations, beam profiles, which are shown in Fig. 2, were obtained using a beam viewer (HRBIS-4000 from Beam Imaging Solutions) as well as a Faraday cup.

To isolate the radiation damage dependence on charge state or potential energy, the dose dependence for each charge state was recorded across multiple exposures with doses ranging from 5×10^{11} to 5×10^{12} ions/cm² for $Q=4,8$, and 11 ions. One control point for singly charged Ar ($Q=1$) ions was also recorded. The listed dose range was chosen based on those used in the singly charged Na⁺ work, both for comparison and to avoid saturating the MOS device flatband response. Following each irradiation, top metal contacts were deposited on the sample by moving the sample from the target region and into a thermal evaporator. A 5x5 grid of Al dots, each with a diameter of 1 mm and center-to-center distance of 2.5 mm, was deposited on the sample to complete the fabrication of the MOS devices.

Device Characterization

Both the singly charged ion and MCI irradiation-encapsulation steps produced samples that contained multiple MOS devices. These spatially separated Al-capped regions represented pristine and irradiated regions of the targets which were characterized using C-V measurements. High-frequency (HF) and low-frequency (LF) C-V measurements were carried out on all samples, and Fig 1(b) and Fig 1(c) show typical C-V curves for pristine and irradiated devices respectively. Details about the C-V data are discussed in detail in the following sections, and here we mention only the details specific to the C-V measurement technique. A micromanipulator probe station connected to a HP4280A for HF measurements and a HP4140B for LF measurements was used to obtain the C-V characteristics of each individual MOS device. Each sample was loaded on the chuck of the micromanipulator, where the backside Ohmic contact was connected through suction provided by a small vacuum pump. The top Al contact was connected using a probe tip mounted on the manipulator. A characteristic C-V curve was recorded for each device on a sample, and shifts in the curve shape were found to correspond to the level of irradiation damage at that position on the sample. To quantify these curve shifts, the flatband voltage (V_{FB}) was determined for each sample, where V_{FB} was calculated based on the average doping concentration of the underlying Si substrate ($5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) as described in Ref. [26]. The difference in flatband voltages (ΔV_{FB}) taken relative to a pristine (unirradiated) device/sample region was tracked for different samples across dose and charge states explored in these measurements.

III. Discussion

Here we discuss separately the two measurements performed for irradiations of thick SiO₂ by singly or multiply charged ions, looking at the kinetic energy dependence and the potential energy dependence of the results, respectively.

Singly Charged Ion Irradiation

For the irradiations performed with singly charged ions, the 1900 Å oxide samples were exposed to well-defined beams of Na⁺ ions as described above. Each SiO₂/Si sample was then capped with Al metal dots to form finished MOS devices that were C-V characterized. For these measurements, variations in the C-V curves are taken as the recorded shift in the flatband voltage, ΔV_{FB} , which is determined relative to a reference or unirradiated result.

The flatband value, V_{FB} , was chosen as a standard comparison point for these measurements as it is a universally accepted reference point on the C-V curve of MOS devices. [27] Physically, V_{FB} corresponds to the point where, for an ideal system, the gate voltage equals the work function difference between the Al gate and the Si substrate. In this case, an ideal pristine device of Al and Si would have a V_{FB} value of -0.8V; however, in our pristine devices a value of -3.8 V was obtained for V_{FB} across all samples. This shifted value can be attributed to charge trapping which occurs during the device fabrication. Quantitatively, a flatband shift of -3.8V for the unirradiated devices relative to the ideal value (-0.8 V) corresponds to a trapped charge concentration of approximately $3.43 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at the oxide-semiconductor interface, which is considered an acceptable value for the thick oxides utilized here.

For the irradiated samples, the masked areas at the sample corners produced MOS devices which gave V_{FB} values of -3.8 V, indicating they were pristine or unirradiated in those positions. In contrast, the central sample areas gave MOS devices whose V_{FB} values were consistently shifted to more negative values, indicating shifts induced by the ion irradiation at those positions.

Fig 3 shows the V_{FB} values as well as the shifts or ΔV_{FB} values obtained for the irradiated MOS device positions on the samples as a function of the incident kinetic energy of the Na^+ ions. The observed trend is approximately linear in energy for the dose and energy ranges in this study.

Before considering the role of kinetic energy dissipation for the observed ΔV_{FB} trend in these Na^+ results, it must be noted that alkali ions, in general, are considered to be an “ionized impurity” within SiO_2 . Therefore, the presence of these ions in the subsurface region can lead to a measurable shift in V_{FB} relative to a pristine device unexposed to Na^+ ions. To account for this possibility, we calculated the V_{FB} shift that would be introduced by the Na^+ ions, assuming that they were distributed in the SiO_2 layer at implantation depths given by SRIM. [3]. For the kinetic energy range used here (2 keV to 5 keV), the ions are implanted at a mean depth ranging from 6 nm to 12 nm, respectively, which is confined to the top 5% of the oxide layer. Therefore, the ions account for no more than 25% of the measured shift in V_{FB} and this results is included in Fig. 3.

After accounting for the possibility of an ionic impurity component in the V_{FB} shift, it is clear that the remaining shift and observed linear trend with respect to kinetic energy is directly attributable to the loss of kinetic energy by the stopped Na^+ ions within the SiO_2 layer. Microscopically, this can be considered as reflecting the energy lost per unit length by the ions as they travel through the layer, which is defined as the stopping power ($S(E) = -dE/dx$). While stopping power is traditionally divided into two components: nuclear stopping and electronic stopping, it is not immediately clear that our measurements can distinguish between them. However, if we focus on the known fact that an MOS-encapsulated oxide is sensitive to electron-hole pair excitations above a certain threshold then we can assume that electronic stopping of the ions is the most probable route to those excitations. For SiO_2 the threshold energy required to

create an electron-hole pair is 18 eV [28] and the transport of electron-hole pairs induced by radiation energy losses is well described by the columnar recombination model [29-31]. This model is represented as

$$\frac{\partial n_{\pm}}{\partial t} = D_{\pm} \nabla^2 n_{\pm} \mp \mu_{\pm} E \frac{\partial n_{\pm}}{\partial x} - \alpha n_{-} n_{+}$$

where $n_{\pm}$ represents the hole (+) or electron density (-) and the terms on the right-hand side are, from left to right, the diffusion term, the drift term and the recombination term. D represents the diffusion constant, μ is the mobility of carriers ($\mu_{-}=40 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V-s}$ and $\mu_{+}=10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V-s}$) in SiO_2 , E is the applied/internal field and α is the recombination coefficient. For our measurements, we first note that the mobility of electrons is much higher than that of holes. Therefore, we can assume a process where recombination can take place initially with surviving electrons being swept away into the semiconductor substrate. Considering that we are not applying a gate voltage, we can neglect the electric field term in the model and arrive at a uniform distribution of holes in the oxide.

Looking at our data, we can then interpret our measured ΔV_{FB} as a representation of a hole distribution within the oxide and we can calculate an “experimental” number of holes ($N_{H-EXPERIMENTAL}$). Here we simply adapt the standard formalism for the flatband shift in an MOS device and assume that the entire hole concentration is represented by a charge sheet centered at half the depth of the oxide, which gives

$$N_{H-EXPERIMENTAL} = \frac{2 \times \Delta V_{FB} \times C_{ox}}{e}$$

where C_{ox} is the maximum capacitance of the oxide and e is the electron charge.

Using SRIM, we can compare with $N_{H-EXPERIMENTAL}$ by calculating the energy lost to electronic stopping in the oxide and converting that value to an expected the number of holes. To do so, we also account for our dose and device areas to obtain a theoretical hole concentration (N_{H-SRIM}) due to the energetic ions as

$$N_{H-SRIM} = \frac{Dose \times Area \times e \times dE / dx}{18} \times \frac{\int_0^{x_{ox}} G(\mu, \sigma) \times x dx}{\int_0^{x_{ox}} G(\mu, \sigma) dx}$$

where D is the dose (ions/cm²), A is the area of the MOS device (0.00899 cm²), and dE/dx is the electronic loss (units of eV/Å) obtained from SRIM calculations. A Gaussian distribution of holes obtained from SRIM ($G(\mu, \sigma)$) is also included and is weighted by the depth x to account for linear energy loss of the ions across the oxide thickness, x_{ox} .

In comparing the experimental and theoretical yields for holes, we define the fractional yield of holes that have survived the initial recombination step as

$$f(E) = N_{H-EXPERIMENTAL} / N_{H-SRIM}$$

Figure 4 shows this fractional yield as the slope of a linear fit to the plot of $N_{H-EXPERIMENTAL}$ versus N_{H-SRIM} . The value obtained is 0.0124, which is comparable to the fractional yield for holes within SiO₂ obtained using different forms of radiation excitation. [30]

We note that annealing of our irradiated samples at 200°C in the presence of a bias removed the observed shifts in V_{FB} , leading to a full recovery of pristine V_{FB} voltage values. This is clear evidence that the subsurface energy loss of the ions causes reversible damage in the SiO₂ layer in the form of trapped charge. This has been observed in other radiation studies of MOS devices

[32] and can be explained by the thermally-initiated release and subsequent neutralization of the trapped charge in the oxide.

Multiply Charged Ion Irradiation

As with the singly charged ion irradiations, our MCI data consisted of C-V results obtained after individual SiO₂/Si samples were irradiated, encapsulated and probed through multiple Al/SiO₂/Si devices that had been deposited on each sample. Specifically, we have irradiated 1750 Å thick SiO₂ layers with Ar^{Q+} ions ($Q=1,4,8,11$) at a fixed kinetic energy of 1 keV.

To accommodate the target chamber requirements of CUEBIT, no mask was used during these MCI irradiations. Instead, the entire sample was exposed to the beam and then capped with a larger grid of metal dots as shown in Fig. 5. The C-V results, expressed as ΔV_{FB} , are also shown in Fig. 5, plotted as a function of position for a single sample. It is clear from this figure that the ΔV_{FB} values are spatially varying and that this variation is consistent with the typical spatially-resolved intensity of the MCI beam such as the example in Fig. 2. To compare these observed shifts in V_{FB} on any given sample to the respective fluence used to dose that sample, an across-sample average was calculated. Additionally, in calculating the fluence for each sample, a correction for Faraday cup area, which was used to measure the dose, was applied. In general, a two-dimensional Gaussian function can be used to fit the beam profiles, and by extension, the observed spatially varying ΔV_{FB} results. The average shift in ΔV_{FB} across the sample was calculated as

$$\Delta V_{FB, avg} = \frac{\iint_A V(Gaussian-fit) dA}{\iint_A dA}$$

where $V(Gaussian-fit)$ represents the two-dimensional Gaussian function fit to the measured ΔV_{FB} data, and A represents the area of the sample. The calculated average $\Delta V_{FB, avg}$ corresponds

to the shift that would have resulted for devices on the sample if the incident MCI beam had been spatially uniform with a flat, non-Gaussian profile. Geometrically, the definition above corresponds to the volume under the interpolated surface of the ΔV_{FB} profile over the area of the sample, and $\Delta V_{FB,avg}$ represents the height of a rectangular prism with cross-sectional area of the sample that would contain the same volume.

Fig 6 shows the dependence of $\Delta V_{FB,avg}$ on fluence for different charge states. The linear dependence observed for each charge state indicates that the fluences used here are within a linear regime for radiation dosing of these devices. That is, each MCI has an independent and additive effect on the flatband shift, and the slope of these lines can be used to quantify this effect per ion. The clear differences in slopes also indicate a non-linear charge state dependence which can be seen in Fig 7 where the $\Delta V_{FB,avg}$ shift per ion is plotted as a function of MCI charge state. If the shift caused by Ar^{1+} ions is taken as a kinetic energy control and the remaining charge states are plotted relative to this point, we obtain a power law ($V_{FB} \sim Q^{2.2}$) for the flatband shifts due to MCI irradiation. This near quadratic dependence of $\Delta V_{FB,avg}$ on the charge state could be an indicator that the stopping power of multiply charged ions depends on Q via an intrinsic power law.

A comparison of our result with other efforts [21-23, 33-34] to investigate the charge state dependence for stopping power shows that this is an open question. In particular, Hermann *et al.* found no Q -dependence as described in Ref [34], while Schenkel *et al.* have found evidence of Q -dependent stopping as described in Refs. [22-23]. Most of these experiments involved the passage of MCIs through a thin foil and the subsequent measurement of their kinetic energy and charge. As such, the requirement of foil penetration and emergence places a fundamental limit on the kinetic energy required to pass through the foil and can be considered a non-viable

method for low kinetic energies. The nearest experiment to our subsurface result is that described in Ref. [33] where a difference in the range of Sb^{25+} and Sb^{1+} ions implanted in SiO_2 was measured by SIMS and used as a measure of enhanced stopping power within the oxide. We also note that a quadratic dependency of electronic stopping power on effective charge state has been previously used in the literature [35]. Finally, using extensions of the theory behind the SRIM code, Biersack has predicted a Q^4 dependence of stopping power [21].

IV. Summary and Conclusions

As-grown samples of SiO_2 were irradiated with singly and multiply charged ions to investigate the use of C-V measurements in tracking kinetic and potential energy deposition within the subsurface region of a target material. By encapsulating irradiated oxide samples with Al contacts, spatially-resolved signatures of the ionic radiation below the surface were revealed in shifted C-V characteristics. These shifts scaled linearly with the ion dose and kinetic energy. The potential energy, however, gave rise to C-V shifts that, when calibrated for dose, appear to follow a power law in charge state with an exponent of ~ 2.2 . This result is at odds with prior data obtained with similar ions that had penetrated thin foils. Overall, our results indicate that MOS encapsulation and C-V measurements can serve as a method to track low kinetic and potential energy deposition by ions into the subsurface regions of a solid.

References

1. L.A. El-Guebaly: History and Evolution of Fusion Power Plant Studies: Past Present and Future Prospects in Nuclear Reactors, Nuclear Fusion and Fusion Engineering, edited by A. Aasen and P. Olsson (Nova Science Publishers, 2009), p. 217.
2. G. Federici, C. H. Skinner, J. N. Brooks, J. P. Coad, C. Grisolia, A. A. Haasz, A. Hassanein, V. Philipps, C. S. Pitcher, J. Roth, W. R. Wampler and D. G. Whyte: Plasma-material interactions in current tokamaks and their implications for next step fusion reactors. *Nuclear Fusion* **41**(12R), 1967-2137 (2001).
3. J. F. Ziegler, M. D. Ziegler and J. P. Biersack: SRIM - The stopping and range of ions in matter (2010). *Nucl. Instr. & Meth. in Phys. Res. B* **268**(11-12), 1818-1823 (2010).
4. B. D. Savage, K. R. Sembach, E. B. Jenkins, J. M. Shull, D. G. York, G. Sonneborn, H. W. Moos, S. D. Friedman, J. C. Green, W. R. Oegerle, W. P. Blair, J. W. Kruk, and E. M. Murphy: Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer Observations of O VI Absorption in the Galactic Halo. *Astrophys. J. Lett* **538**(1), L27 (2000).
5. W. P. Blair, R. Sankrit, R. Shelton, K. R. Sembach, H. W. Moos, J. C. Raymond, D. G. York, P. D. Feldman, P. Chayer, E. M. Murphy, D. J. Sahnou, and E. Wilkinson: Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer Observations of the Supernova Remnant N49 in the Large Magellanic Cloud. *Astrophys. J. Lett* **538**(1), L61 (2000).
6. T. R. Ayres, A. Brown, R. A. Osten, D. P. Huenemoerder, J. J. Drake, N. S. Brickhouse, and J. L. Linsky: Chandra, EUVE, HST, and VLA Multiwavelength Campaign on HR 1099: Instrumental Capabilities, Data Reduction, and Initial Results. *Astrophys. J.* **549**(1), 554 (2001).
7. F. Aumayr, J. Burgdorfer, G. Hayderer, P. Varga, and H.P. Winter: Evidence against the "Coulomb explosion" model for desorption from insulator surfaces by slow highly charged ions. *Physica Scripta* **T80B** (Topical Issue 1999), 240-242 (1999).

8. F. Aumayr, P. Varga, and H.P. Winter: Potential sputtering: desorption from insulator surfaces by impact of slow multicharged ions. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **192**(1), 415-424 (1999).
9. F. Aumayr, and H. Winter: Potential sputtering. *Philos T Roy Soc A*, **362**(1814), 77-102 (2004).
10. R. Heller, S. Facsko, R.A. Wilhelm, and W. Moeller: Defect mediated desorption of the KBr(001) surface induced by single highly charged ion impact. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**(9), 096102 (2008).
11. N. Kakutani, T. Azuma, Y. Yamazaki, K. Komaki, and K. Kuroki: Potential sputtering of protons from a surface under slow highly-charged ion-bombardment. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 2 **34**(5A), L580-L583 (1995).
12. K. Kuroki, K. Komaki, and Y. Yamazaki: Potential sputtering of protons from hydrogen- and H₂O-terminated Si(100) surfaces with slow highly charged ions. *Nucl. Instr. & Meth. in Phys. Res. B* **203**, 183-191 (2003).
13. J.M. Pomeroy, and H. Grube: HCI potential energy sputtering measured with magnetic tunnel junctions. *Nucl. Instr. & Meth. in Phys. Res. B* **267**(4), 642-645 (2009).
14. T. Schenkel, M. Schneider, M. Hattass, M.W. Newman, A.V. Barnes, A.V. Hamza, D.H. Schneider, R.L. Cicero, and C.E.D. Chidsey: Electronic desorption of alkyl monolayers from silicon by very highly charged ions. *J. Vac. Sci. & Tech. B* **16**(6), 3298-3300 (1998).
15. M. Sporn, G. Libiseller, T. Neidhart, M. Schmid, F. Aumayr, H.P. Winter, P. Varga, M. Grether, D. Niemann, and N. Stolterfoht: Potential sputtering of clean SiO₂ by slow highly charged ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**(5), 945-948 (1997).
16. A. Niehaus: A classical-model for multiple-electron capture in slow collisions of highly charged ions with atoms. *J. Phys. B* **19**(18), 2925 (1986).
17. R. K. Janev and H. Winter: State-selective electron-capture in atom highly charged ion collisions. *Physics Reports* **117**(5-6), 265-387 (1985).
18. M. Kimura, N. Nakamura, H. Watanabe, I. Yamada, A. Danjo, K. Hosaka, A. Matsumoto, S. Ohtani, H.A. Sakaue, M. Sakurai, H. Tawara, and M. Yoshino: A scaling law of cross-sections

- for multiple electron-transfer in slow collisions between highly-charged ions and atoms. *J. Phys. B.* **28**(20), L643-L647 (1995).
19. M. Barat and P. Roncin: Multiple electron-capture by highly charged ions at keV energies. *J. Phys. B.* **25**(10), 2205-2243 (1992).
 20. J. Vancura, V.J. Marchetti, J.J. Perotti, and V.O. Kostroun: Absolute total and one-electron and 2-electron transfer cross-sections for $\text{Ar}(q^+)$ ($8 \leq q \leq 16$) on He and H_2 at 2.3q keV. *Phys. Rev. A* **47**(5), 3758-3768 (1993).
 21. J. P. Biersack: The effect of high charge states on the stopping and ranges of ions in solids. *Nucl. Instr. & Meth. in Phys. Res. B* **80-81**(1), 12 (1993).
 22. T. Schenkel, M. A. Briere, A. V. Barnes, A. V. Hamza, K. Bethge, H. Schmidt-Böcking, and D. H. Schneider: Charge State Dependent Energy Loss of Slow Heavy Ions in Solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**(11), 2030 (1997).
 23. T. Schenkel, A. V. Hamza, A. V. Barnes, and D. H. Schneide: Energy loss of slow, highly charged ions in solids. *Phys. Rev. A.* **56**(3), R1701 (1997).
 24. M. Ray, R. Lake, S. Moody, V. Magadala, and C.E. Sosolik: A hyperthermal energy ion beamline for probing hot electron chemistry at surfaces. *Rev. Sci. Instr.* **79**(7), 076106 (2008).
 25. R. Shyam, D.D. Kulkarni, , D.A. Field, E.S. Srinadhu, D.B. Cutshall, W.R. Harrell, J.E. Harriss and C.E. Sosolik: First Multicharged Ion Irradiation Results from the CUEBIT Facility at Clemson University. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, in press.
 26. R. Shyam, Ph.D. Thesis, Clemson University
 27. E. H. Nicollian and J. R. Brews: MOS(Metal Oxide Semiconductor) Physics and Technology (A Wiley-Interscience publication, USA, 1982).
 28. G. A. Ausman Jr. and F. B. Mclean: Electron-hole pair creation energy in SiO_2 . *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **26**(4), 173 (1975).
 29. T. R. Oldham and F. B. Mclean: Total Ionizing Dose Effects in MOS Oxides and Devices. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **50**(3), 483 (2003).

30. T. R. Oldham and J. M. McGarrity: Ionization of SiO₂ by Heavy Charged Particles *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **NS-28**(6), 3975 (1981).
31. T. R. Oldham: Recombination along the tracks of heavy charged particles in SiO₂ films. *J. Appl. Phys.* **57**(8), 2695 (1985).
32. D.M. Fleetwood, R.A. Reber Jr, L.C. Riewe and P.S. Winokur: Thermally Stimulated current in SiO₂. *Microelectronics Reliability* **39**(9), 1323 (1999).
33. T. Schenkel, C.C. Lo, C.D. Weis, A. Schuh, A. Persaud and J. Bokor: Critical issues in the formation of quantum computer test structures by ion implantation. *Nucl. Instr. & Meth. in Phys. Res. B* **267**(16), 2563 (2009).
34. R. Herrmann, C. L. Cocke, J. Ullrich, S. Hagmann, M. Stoeckli and H. Schmidt-Boecking: Charge-state equilibration length of a highly charged ion inside a carbon solid. *Phys. Rev. A.* **50**(2), 1435 (1994).
35. W. Brandt and M. Kitagawa: Effective stopping-power charges of swift ions in condensed matter. *Phys. Rev. B.* **25**(9), 5631 (1982).

Figure 1:(a) A diced, oxidized Si sample mounted on an Omicron-style sample holder showing four central (irradiated) and four corner (unirradiated) MOS devices. (b) High frequency (HF) and low frequency (LF) capacitance-voltage (C-V) curves for an unirradiated device. (c) HF and LF C-V curves for a device encapsulating an oxide layer irradiated by 3 keV Na^+ ions

Figure 2: Typical beam profile for Ar^{Q+} irradiation. ($Q=8$ is shown)

Figure 3: Measured flatband voltages (V_{FB}) for pristine and irradiated MOS devices, plotted with respect to the incident energy of the Na^+ ions. The expected contribution of the implanted Na^+ ions on the overall flatband is also plotted and was determined using the experimental ion dose and device area along with depth profiles obtained from SRIM [3].

Figure 4: Number of “holes” determined experimentally from C-V flatband shifts (ΔV_{FB}) versus the number of “holes” predicted using SRIM and expected ion energy loss. The slope represents the fractional yield, $f(E)$.

Figure 5: An interpolated image of the measured V_{FB} shifts obtained from from high frequency C-V spectra of MOS devices deposited on an Ar^{4+} irradiated SiO_2/Si wafer (1750 Å oxide layer). Units for the color scale are volts and the positions of the deposited Al dots can be seen as circles superimposed on the interpolated image.

Figure 6: Average flatband voltage shift (ΔV_{FB}) plotted vs. dose for Ar^{Q+} ions for charge states (Q=1,4,8,11). The average shift was calculated from a Gaussian fit to the interpolated, two-dimensional flatband voltage image obtained from individual C-V curves.

Figure 7: Normalized flatband voltage shift per ion obtained from dose dependence data show in Fig. 6 for Ar^{Q+} charge states ($Q=1,4,8,11$).