

1978

# Nucleosynthetic Origin of $^{41}\text{K}$ Excess in Soil Agglutinates

Donald D. Clayton

*Clemson University*, claydonald@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: [https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/physastro\\_pubs](https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/physastro_pubs)

---

## Recommended Citation

Please use publisher's recommended citation.

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy at TigerPrints. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of TigerPrints. For more information, please contact [kokeefe@clemson.edu](mailto:kokeefe@clemson.edu).

NUCLEOSYNTHETIC ORIGIN OF  $^{41}\text{K}$  EXCESS IN SOIL  
AGGLUTINATES, Donald D. Clayton, Dept. of Space Physics and  
Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77001.

I argue that the highly fractionated state of the presolar nebula has led to an exotic isotopic fractionation process during formation of agglutinates in lunar soil. Church *et al.* (1) have found up to 2% enrichments of  $^{41}\text{K}$  in the potassium within agglutinates, significantly augmenting previous studies. This difference is normally interpreted as a fractionation due to nuclear mass (2), but I wish to instead suggest that it reflects the preferential siting of  $^{41}\text{K}$  in refractory Ca minerals within the micrometeorites whose bombardment is believed to have physically produced the agglutinates (3).

Clayton (4,5) pointed out that because  $^{41}\text{K}$  is originally synthesized as  $^{41}\text{Ca}$  in explosive oxygen burning, and since Ca condenses preferentially to K in the expansion of the supernova interior, the  $^{41}\text{K}$  and  $^{39}\text{K}$  should have been strongly fractionated from each other in the precondensed matter of the initial solar system. Supernova condensation of Ca accounts (5) for its great depletion from interstellar gas. The  $^{41}\text{Ca}$  parent will have upon nucleosynthetic ejection resided almost exclusively in Ca-rich SUNOCONS, later to be diluted to about 1/3 of total  $^{41}\text{K}$  by SUNOCON evaporation in stellar atmospheres and subsequent recondensation of Ca as STARDUST (6). Since K is rather volatile, a much smaller fraction of  $^{39}\text{K}$  will reside in high-T minerals within the interstellar medium. I regard the early solar system as never having been hot enough to vaporize the refractory precondensed matter, so that primitive meteoritic accumulations will also be characterized as having about 1/3 of the  $^{41}\text{K}$  trapped within high-T Ca condensates. Clayton (5) called for a physical study of meteorites to seek this microscopic fractionation, and I here suggest that such fractionation was routine in the micrometeorites that bombard the lunar surface.

Church *et al.* (1) presented convincing evidence for the correlation of  $^{41}\text{K}$  excess to loss of volatiles. Their Fig. 3 shows that agglutinates have lower K/Ba ratios than do soils, and their Fig. 4 shows that Pb loss parallels K loss. My point is that the bulk of the K is more easily lost by impact volatilization than is the  $^{41}\text{K}$  trapped in Ca-rich SUNOCONS. The  $^{39}\text{K}/^{41}\text{K}$  ratio will vary inversely with the K/Ca ratio in agglutinates, as first predicted (4) for analogous meteoritic processes. This interpretation seems to fit the data of Church *et al.* (1) if up to 6% of agglutinate Ca was carried into it by micrometeorites (assuming that the lunar soil itself contains no target SUNOCON-derived minerals).

## NUCLEOSYNTHETIC ORIGIN OF $^{41}\text{K}$ EXCESS

D. D. Clayton

The established approach to resolve these possibilities lies of course with a 3-isotope correlation plot. Mass fractionation will produce  $^{41}\delta \approx 2^{40}\delta$  relative to reference K. Clayton (5) described the ways in which nucleosynthetic K anomalies will show quite distinctive behavior. Unfortunately Church et al. (1) were unable to find any  $^{40}\text{K}/^{41}\text{K}$  variations, a fact that, if it remains true at improved statistical significance, would offer little solace for supporters of either model.

Research supported by NASA grant NSG-7361.

- (1) Church S. E., Tilton G. R., Wright J. E. and Lee-Hu C. (1976) Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf. 7th, p. 423-439.
- (2) Clayton R. N., Mayeda T. K. and Hurd J. M. (1974) Proc. Lunar Sci. Conf. 5th, p. 1801-1809.
- (3) Duke M. B., Woo C. C., Sellers G. A., Bird M. L. and Finkleman R. B. (1970) Proc. Apollo 11 Lunar Sci. Conf., p. 347-361.
- (4) Clayton D. D. (1975) Nature 257, p. 36-37.
- (5) Clayton D. D. (1977) Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 36, p. 381-390.
- (6) Clayton D. D. (1978) Proc. Protostars and Planets, Tucson Jan. 3-7.