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A Genomewide Overexpression Screen Identifies Genes Involved in the Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase Pathway in the Human Protozoan Parasite Entamoeba histolytica

Amrita B. Koushik, a,b,c Brenda H. Welter, b,c Michelle L. Rock, b,c Lesly A. Temesvarib,c
Department of Genetics and Biochemistry,a Department of Biological Sciences,b and Eukaryotic Pathogens Innovation Center (EPIC),c Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, USA

Entamoeba histolytica is a protozoan parasite that causes amoebic dysentery and liver abscess. E. histolytica relies on motility, phagocytosis, host cell adhesion, and proteolysis of extracellular matrix for virulence. In eukaryotic cells, these processes are mediated in part by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling. Thus, PI3K may be critical for virulence. We utilized a functional genomics approach to identify genes whose products may operate in the PI3K pathway in E. histolytica. We treated a population of trophozoites that were overexpressing genes from a cDNA library with a near-lethal dose of the PI3K inhibitor wortmannin. This screen was based on the rationale that survivors would be overexpressing gene products that directly or indirectly function in the PI3K pathway. We sequenced the overexpressed genes in survivors and identified a cDNA encoding a Rap GTPase, a protein previously shown to participate in the PI3K pathway. This supports the validity of our approach. Genes encoding a coactosin-like protein, EhCoactosin, and a serine-rich E. histolytica protein (SREHP) were also identified. Cells overexpressing EhCoactosin or SREHP were also less sensitive to a second PI3K inhibitor, LY294002. This corroborates the link between these proteins and PI3K. Finally, a mutant cell line with an increased level of phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-triphosphate, the product of PI3K activity, exhibited increased expression of SREHP and EhCoactosin. This further supports the functional connection between these proteins and PI3K in E. histolytica. To our knowledge, this is the first forward-genetics screen adapted to reveal genes participating in a signal transduction pathway in this pathogen.

Entamoeba histolytica is an enteric protozoan parasite that causes amoebiasis and amoebic liver abscess in humans (1). It is prevalent in developing countries that cannot prevent its fecal-oral spread. E. histolytica enters the human host upon ingestion of water or food contaminated with environmentally stable cysts. After passing through the stomach, excystation leads to the release of trophozoites, which migrate to the bowel lumen for colonization. In 10% of infected individuals, infection can progress from a noninvasive stage to an invasive stage (2), during which the parasite binds to and destroys colonic epithelium. From here, the parasites enter the circulatory system and translocate to other organs. The most common site of extraintestinal infection is the liver, characterized by the formation of amebic liver abscess (ALA). Phagosomes (8–10) and PI(3,4,5)P3 localizes to both pseudopods and phagosomes (10). Studies using small-molecule inhibitors of PI3K, such as LY294002 and wortmannin, have also been carried out. Treatment of E. histolytica trophozoites with wortmannin inhibits directional cell polarization (11), motility, actin cytoskeletal rearrangements, proteolytic activity, and the development of ALA in an animal model of disease (12). Exposure to either LY294002 (13) or wortmannin (14) inhibits pinocytosis of a fluorescent fluid-phase marker, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran, and disrupts phagocytosis (8, 10, 14) and adhesion to host cells in a dose-dependent manner (8).

Several unique aspects of PI3K activity in E. histolytica make it worthy of study. First, expression of PI3K is higher in virulent E. histolytica than in nonvirulent E. dispar (15). Second, compared to mammalian cells, E. histolytica has above average levels of PI(3,4,5)P3 in the plasma membrane (10). Third, unlike in mammalian cells (16), serum withdrawal does not affect the steady-state level of PI(3,4,5)P3 in E. histolytica (10). Fourth, the products of PI3K, PI3P and PI(3,4,5)P3, localize to early-forming or newly
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sealed phagosomes (8–10). In contrast, localization of PI3P to phagosomes in mammalian cells is observed only after their closure (17). Finally, although not much is known about encystation in \textit{E. histolytica}, wortmannin inhibits encystation in a related reptilian pathogen, \textit{E. invadens} (18). Therefore, it is possible that \textit{E. histolytica} encystation also requires PI3K activity. Thus, understanding the unique role of phosphoinositides and PI3K signaling in \textit{E. histolytica} may provide insight into infection.

In other systems, genomewide overexpression has been used to identify targets of small-molecule drugs. For example, Butcher et al. (19) used overexpression to identify genes regulating rapamycin sensitivity and, hence, TOR kinase signaling. Similarly, genomewide overexpression was used to define targets of a kinase inhibitor, phenylaminopyrimidine (20), and two antifungal drugs, tunicamycin and soraphen (21). Sequencing and annotation of the \textit{E. histolytica} genome (22, 23) have enabled the development of whole-genome approaches to assign functions to genes. However, to date, the only forward genetics approach that has been applied to \textit{E. histolytica} is a recent overexpression screen that identified genes that negatively regulate phagocytosis (24).

In the current study, we have adapted an overexpression-based chemical genomic approach (25) to uncover genes that may directly or indirectly participate in PI3K signaling. Specifically, we applied a near-lethal dose of wortmannin to a population of cells that had been transfected with an \textit{E. histolytica} cDNA library to select for cells that were less sensitive to the drug. We then identified the genes that were overexpressed in the survivors. The screen was based on the hypothesis that cells in which wortmannin toxicity was genetically suppressed may be overexpressing genes that directly or indirectly play a role in PI3K signaling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and culture conditions.** \textit{Entamoeba histolytica} trophozoites (strain HM-1:MSS) were cultured axenically in TYI-S-33 medium (26) in 15-ml glass screw-cap tubes at 37°C. The methods used to generate \textit{E. histolytica} cell lines that overexpress a GFP-tagged pleckstrin homology (PH) domain from mammalian Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (GFP-PH\textsubscript{BHk}), EhLimA, or luciferase (here referred to as Eh209) are described elsewhere (10, 24, 27). The GFP-PH\textsubscript{BHk} and Eh209 transgenic cell lines were maintained in TYI-S-33 medium supplemented with 6 μg/ml G418 and 15 μg/ml hygromycin. Expression of GFP-PH\textsubscript{BHk} or luciferase was induced by the addition of 5 μg/ml tetracycline to the culture medium 24 h prior to performing assays. The EhLimA-expressing cell line was maintained in a medium supplemented with 23 μg/ml hygromycin, and the drug concentration was increased to 46 μg/ml for 1 week prior to conducting experiments.

The generation of a population of \textit{E. histolytica} cells transfected with a cDNA library in the \textit{E. histolytica} expression vector pAH-DEST is described elsewhere (24). Briefly, the cDNA library was constructed using Invitrogen Custom Services (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RNA was isolated from approximately 2.4 × 10\textsuperscript{6} trophozoites using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Poly(A)\textsuperscript{+} RNA was selected from 2 mg total RNA and used to generate a primary, uncut, 7-fold normalized cDNA library directionally cloned into the Gateway-compatible entry vector pENTR222(f1–). Proprietary 5’ cap-binding technology was used to select and enrich for full-length clones. The final titer of the amplified library was 3.9 × 10\textsuperscript{6} CFU/ml. Twenty-four random clones, with a minimum of 20 different genes, were analyzed for the presence of inserts. All 24 clones had inserts with an average size of 1.1 kb.

The LR Clonase system (Invitrogen) was used to transfer cDNA inserts from pENTR222 to pAH-DEST (28), a Gateway-compatible \textit{E. histolytica} expression vector (kind gift from C. A. Gilchrist and W. A. Petri, Jr., Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Interna-
The cycloheximide sensitivity assay was employed as described previously (24). Briefly, trophozoites (3 × 10⁶ cells) were seeded into 13 ml of TYI-S-33 medium supplemented with 100 nM cycloheximide or an equivalent volume of PBS (diluent control) and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Cell viability was assessed by microscopy with Trypan blue exclusion.

Whole-cell lipid extraction and PI(3,4,5)P3 dot blots. Total lipid was extracted from trophozoites according to the methods of Gray et al. (32). Briefly, 1 × 10⁶ cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Lipids were precipitated with 5 ml of 0.5 M trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min at 4°C. The pellets were washed with 3 ml of 5% (wt/vol) TCA–1 mM EDTA and centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min. To facilitate neutral lipid extraction, 3 ml of methanol-chloroform (2:1) was added to the pellets, and the mixture was vortexed 3 times over a period of 10 min at room temperature. The extracted lipids were centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min at 4°C. To facilitate acidic lipid extraction, 2.25 ml methanol-chloroform-12.1N HCl (80:40:1) was added, and the mixture was vortexed 4 times during 15 min at room temperature and centrifuged at 500 × g. The resulting supernatant was subjected to a phase split by the addition of 750 μl chloroform and 1.35 ml 0.1N HCl. The solution was centrifuged at 500 × g for 5 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, the organic phase was collected and vacuum dried, and the pellets were used for lipid dot blot analysis.

Lipids were spotted onto Hybond-C nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked in 1.5% (wt/vol) fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin (BSA) at room temperature for 1 h and probed with mouse anti-PI(3,4,5)P3 antibody (1:1,000 dilution) (Echelon Biosciences, Salt Lake City, UT) followed by incubation with secondary antibody, i.e., peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:2,000 dilution) (Cappel; ICN Pharmaceuticals, Costa Mesa, CA). Immunoblots were visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence Western blotting detection system (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Semiquantitative densitometric analyses of immunoblots were performed using ImageJ software (version 1.42q; U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Measurement of motility. Motility assays were carried out according to the methods of Zaki et al. (33). Briefly, 8 ml of complete TYI-S-33 medium, supplemented with 0.75% (wt/vol) agarose, was poured into 60-mm petri dishes and allowed to solidify at room temperature. A trough (2 by 30 mm) was cut into the solidified medium to serve as the motility chamber. Trophozoites were incubated in serum-free media for 1 h at 37°C. Following this incubation, 5 × 10⁵ cells were placed in the trough of the motility chamber. A coverslip (22 by 40 mm) was placed over the trough, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 3 h in 5% CO₂. After 3 h, images were captured using an LSM510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Thornwood, NY). For each trial, at least 3 measurements of the distance between the trough and the leading edge of the population of cells were taken using Zeiss LSM510 image analysis software.

Statistical analyses. All values are given as means ± standard deviation (SD) from at least 3 trials. To compare means, statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Instat V.3 with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a Tukey-Kramer multiple-comparison test. To compare the composition of the gene set obtained before and after selection, we used a statistical Z-test. In all cases, P values less than 0.001 (***), 0.01 (**), or 0.05 (*) were considered statistically significant.

In silico analyses. Structural and functional amino acid residues and domains in the EhCoactosin protein were identified using ExPaSy ScanProsite (34). Cartoon renderings of the EhCoactosin protein were generated using the MyDomains-Image Creator in ExPaSy PROSITE. The Clustal W algorithm was used for comparison of coactosin protein sequences (MacVector 9.0; MacVector, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Development of chemical genomic screen to enrich for mutants hyposensitive to wortmannin. Wortmannin is a cell-permeant, small-molecule metabolite isolated from the fungus Talaromyces wortmanni (35). In mammalian cells, wortmannin can irreversibly inhibit PI3K at low nanomolar concentrations (7). To evaluate wortmannin toxicity in E. histolytica, we exposed trophozoites to a range of concentrations of wortmannin over 3 days. In E. histolytica, PI3P and PI(3,4,5)P3 staining were eliminated by 60 nM (8) and 500 nM (10) wortmannin, respectively. Since we were interested in completely disabling PI3K, we tested a range of concentrations from 500 nM (data not shown) to 4 mM wortmannin. To ensure the presence of active inhibitor, the spent medium was exchanged with fresh wortmannin-containing medium daily. The viability of the trophozoites was assessed and reported as a percentage of untreated control cells. Near 100% death of the trophozoite population was achieved with 2 mM (~1.5% viable after 3 days), 3 mM (~0.9% viable after 3 days), and 4 mM (~0.5% viable after 3 days) wortmannin (Fig. 1). Furthermore, there was no statistically significant difference when 2 mM wortmannin toxicity was compared to that of higher doses. Cell viability was not significantly affected by the diluent, DMSO (Fig. 1). This suggests that cell death was specific to the presence of wortmannin. To minimize off-target effects of wortmannin and to maximize the chances of identifying genes authentically connected to PI3K signaling, 2 mM wortmannin treatment was chosen for the chemical genomics screen.

Screening with wortmannin identifies genes that may function in PI3K signaling. E. histolytica cells were transfected with a cDNA overexpression library constructed in the episomal, constitutive expression vector pAH-DEST (28) as described previously (24). The transgenic population of cells was exposed to 2 mM wortmannin over a 3-day period. The surviving cells were allowed to recover for a 48-h period. Episomes from the surviving population were purified and amplified in E. coli. The CDNAS were identified by sequencing. As a control, episomes were also isolated and sequenced from the transgenic population of cells that was not subjected to this selection. Table 1 shows the identity and frequency of cDNAs isolated from trophozoites that survived selection compared to those recovered randomly from a population of overexpressing cells not subjected to selection. To determine if the set of genes enriched after selection was significantly different
A sequence alignment revealed that EhCoactosin shares high homology with coactosins from other systems (Fig. 2B). Importantly, Lys75 of human coactosin, which is required for interaction with F-actin, is conserved in EhCoactosin, suggesting that the *E. histolytica* protein is an authentic actin-binding protein. The 129LKKAGG134 sequence motif, specifically Lys131, on the C-terminal end of human coactosin is necessary for interaction with 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO), an enzyme that is involved in leukotriene biosynthesis (38). Although *E. histolytica* does not appear to possess 5-LO-like proteins, EhCoactosin harbors a similar motif at its C-terminus which may facilitate its interaction with other proteins.

The cDNA encoding the serine-rich *E. histolytica* protein (SREHP; M80910.0) was present in 4.4% of the bacterial clones that had been transfected with the episomes isolated from trophozoite survivors. It is proposed to be a cell surface receptor that facilitates host-parasite or parasite-parasite interaction through chemotaxis (39). Its potential as a vaccine target has been established (40). SREHP is a cell surface protein, a potential chemotaxant, and is itself phosphorylated. These are all hallmarks of signaling proteins. Thus, it was intriguing to isolate it in a screen for protein partners of PI3K signaling.

The cDNA encoding a Ras family GTPase (EhRap2; XM_646071.2) was isolated from 8.9% of bacterial clones that had been transfected with episomes from trophozoite survivors. Rap GTPases are known to participate in PI3K signaling in other systems (41, 42), supporting the validity of our screen. Because of its known connection to PI3K signaling, we did not conduct additional studies with EhRap2. The cDNA encoding the 40S ribosomal protein S14 (XM_648457.2), the 60S ribosomal protein L10 (XM_647007.2), and the ehapt2 retrotransposable element (AY141199.1) were isolated from 7.8%, 13.3%, and 10%, respectively, of bacterial clones that had been transfected with episomes from trophozoite survivors. These genes also were not chosen for further study, because overexpression of ribosomal proteins or retrotransposons may have profound nonspecific effects on protein synthesis and gene expression. Furthermore, several of the cDNAs encoding ribosomal proteins also were identified in the unselected control population (Table 1).

**Authentication of EhCoactosin and SREHP.** EhCoactosin was selected for additional analysis, because it was the most abundant cDNA isolated in the screen and because it may be the first coactosin-like protein to exhibit a functional connection to PI3K. SREHP was selected because of its potential as a signaling molecule and its importance as a vaccine target. Expression vectors encoding EhCoactosin and SREHP, isolated in the original screen, were transfected into wild-type trophozoites to construct 2nd-generation cell populations overexpressing these proteins. Overexpression of SREHP and EhCoactosin transcripts was confirmed by RT-qPCR (Fig. 3A and B). SREHP and EhCoactosin transcripts exhibited 3.6- and 7.1-fold higher abundance, respectively, in the 2nd-generation transgenic cell lines than in the parental controls (Fig. 3A and B).

To validate the isolation of EhCoactosin and SREHP in the screen, we exposed the 2nd-generation transgenic cell lines to selection with 1 mM and 2 mM wortmannin for 3 days and reassessed toxicity. These cell lines exhibited significantly higher survival after exposure to wortmannin than control diluent-treated cells (Fig. 4A). To test if the decreased susceptibility to the drug was due simply to the presence of episomal DNA, we tested wortmannin selected toxicity.

**TABLE 1 Isolation of cDNAs from *E. histolytica* overexpressers with and without selection with 2 mM wortmannin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GI no.</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>No. of isolates&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XM_645834.2</td>
<td>Actin binding protein, EhCoactosin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY141199.1</td>
<td>EhEap2 retrotransposon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_648457.2</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S14, putative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_646071.2</td>
<td>Ras family GTPase, EhRap2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_647007.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L10, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_646248.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L7, putative</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_647452.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L17, putative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M80910.1</td>
<td>SREHP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_650080.1</td>
<td>Alcohol dehydrogenase, putative</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_650825.1</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S25, putative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_644144.2</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein, H644</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY141200.1</td>
<td>EhEap2 retrotransposon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_646441.2</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S12, putative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_650264.2</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S7, putative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_647340.1</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L7a, putative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_651011.2</td>
<td>Profilin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_651795.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L7, putative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_650280.2</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein, BAR and SH3 domain-containing protein</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_644485.1</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S25, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_651024.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L4, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_645170.2</td>
<td>Serine protease inhibitor, putative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_644082.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L14, putative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_645695.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L13, putative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_644069.2</td>
<td>EhExo, putative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_643525.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L15, putative</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_647370.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L9, putative</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z48752.1</td>
<td>Alcohol dehydrogenase 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_645775.2</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_646205.2</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein L23, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_651193.2</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S9, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_650207.2</td>
<td>C2 domain containing protein</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_65611.2</td>
<td>Vacular ATP synthase subunit E, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_643162.2</td>
<td>Serine-rich protein</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_651764.2</td>
<td>60S ribosomal protein L11, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_648038.2</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein, mRNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_60191740.1</td>
<td>40S ribosomal protein S7, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_645636.1</td>
<td>Rho GTPase activating protein, putative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_652029.2</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> *E. histolytica* overexpressers were left untreated (control) or were subjected to selection with 2 mM wortmannin (selected).

from that in the control population, we used a statistical Z-test. The statistical difference between genes isolated by selection and those isolated randomly from a control population approached significance (*P* = 0.05262). This analysis suggests a nonfortuitous enrichment of specific genes by wortmannin treatment.

The cDNA encoding an actin-binding protein with an actin depolymerization factor homology (ADF-H) domain (EhCoactosin; XM_645834.2) was the most highly enriched of the cDNAs. It was present in 14.4% of the bacterial clones that had been transformed with episomes isolated from trophozoite survivors. The corresponding protein is predicted to be 148 amino acid long (16.2 kDa) and was previously identified in a proteomic analysis of purified phagosomes from *E. histolytica* (36). Like other coactosins, EhCoactosin belongs to the ADF/cofilin family of proteins and contains a putative F-actin binding domain. It is also predicted to possess a putative myristoylation, several casein kinase II sites, and protein kinase C phosphorylation sites (Fig. 2A). These phosphorylation sites indicate a possible role for coactosin in cell signaling in *E. histolytica*. Cofilin, one of the founding members of the ADF/cofilin family, has been shown to be a downstream effector of PI3K activity (37). However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to reveal a functional connection between a coactosin-like protein and PI3K in any system.
mannin toxicity in another cell line overexpressing an irrelevant protein, EhLimA, from the same nonintegrating expression vector (24). There was no significant increase in the number of survivors after wortmannin treatment in the EhLimA-expressing population (Fig. 4A). This suggests that the genetic suppression of wortmannin toxicity by EhCoactosin or SREHP overexpression is genuine.

If overexpression of EhCoactosin or SREHP authentically rescues E. histolytica cells from wortmannin toxicity, it is conceivable that overexpression should also confer resistance to other PI3K inhibitors. To test this, we quantified viability in the 2nd-generation cell lines exposed to 50 μM LY294002, a concentration known to strongly inhibit staining with a PI3P biosensor in E. histolytica (8). Cells overexpressing SREHP exhibited reduced sensitivity to LY294002 toxicity (Fig. 4B), supporting a functional connection between SREHP and PI3K signaling. However, overexpression of EhCoactosin did not protect cells from exposure to LY204002 at this concentration. One possibility was that our test with LY294002 was too stringent. Therefore, we assessed the viability of the EhCoactosin-expressing cell line after exposure to a lower concentration (25 μM) of LY294002. In this case, we observed increased survival of the EhCoactosin-expressing cell line (Fig. 4C) compared to diluent-treated control cells. This corroborates the isolation of EhCoactosin in the original screen and indicates that expression of SREHP is more efficient at protecting cells from LY294002 toxicity than expression of EhCoactosin.

EhCoactosin or SREHP could represent false positives if their overexpression leads to a nonspecific multidrug-resistant phenotype. To address this possibility, we examined the specificity of the response by testing the susceptibility of EhCoactosin- and SREHP-overexpressing cells to an unrelated small molecule, cycloheximide, as previously described (24). There was no significant difference in survival of the 2nd-generation transgenic and parental control cell lines (Fig. 5). This indicated that the isolation of EhCoactosin and SREHP from our original screen was not due to a multidrug resistance phenotype characterized by nonspecific extrusion of small molecules.

Although SREHP has been characterized (39, 40, 43, 44), little
is known about EhCoactosin, except that it is associated with *E. histolytica* phagosomes (36). In other systems, increased expression of coactosin correlates with enhanced cell motility (45, 46). Therefore, to verify EhCoactosin as an authentic coactosin family member, we performed a previously published under-agar motility assay (47) on the 2nd-generation EhCoactosin overexpressors. EhCoactosin-overexpressing cells traveled a significantly longer distance in a 3-h time frame than untransfected control trophozoites (Fig. 6), suggesting that this protein, like other coactosins, has a cell migration function in *E. histolytica*.

Alteration in the level of phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-triphosphate affects expression of EhCoactosin and SREHP. We used an independent genetic test to affirm the connections between PI3K and EhCoactosin or SREHP. Previously, it was reported that a transgenic cell line overexpressing a GFP-tagged pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, from mammalian Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (GFP-PHBtk), exhibited reduced phagocytosis (10), increased motility (10), and decreased levels of PI(4,5)P2 (48). Since the levels of PI(4,5)P2 and PI(3,4,5)P3 are tightly coordinated, we predicted that PI(3,4,5)P3 abundance also was altered in this cell line. Thus, we measured the level of PI(3,4,5)P3 using a lipid dot blot analysis of whole-cell extracts from untransfected parent cells and the GFP-PHBtk cell line. Compared to untransfected cells, GFP-PHBtk-expressing cells exhibited a 2.6-fold increase in PI(3,4,5)P3 (Fig. 7A and B). To determine if the increase in PI(3,4,5)P3 was due to the presence of episomal expression plasmids, we also measured PI(3,4,5)P3 in a control cell line (Eh209) expressing an irrelevant protein, luciferase, from the...
same pGIR209 (27) expression vector. The level of PI(3,4,5)P₃ was not significantly higher in the control transgenic cell line, suggesting that the quantity of this signaling lipid is authentically higher in GFP-PHBrk-expressing cells. Given that PI(3,4,5)P₃ is the product of PI3K, increased levels of this lipid may be the genetic equivalent of enhanced PI3K activity.

Products of PI3K may propagate a signal by interacting with and phosphorylating downstream effector proteins (reviewed in reference 7). One outcome of signaling is changes in gene expression (49–51). Therefore, we measured the level of EhCoactosin and SREHP transcript in the GFP-PHBrk cell line to assess the impact of altered levels of PI(3,4,5)P₃ (48) and PI(3,4,5)P₃ (this study). Compared to wild-type cells, there was a 1.65-fold increase in the abundance of SREHP transcript (Fig. 8A) and a 3.4-fold increase in the abundance of EhCoactosin transcript (Fig. 8B) in cells expressing GFP-PHBrk. SREHP and EhCoactosin transcript levels were not significantly higher in a control transgenic cell line expressing an irrelevant protein, luciferase (Eh209) (Fig. 8A and B). This indicated that the increase in SREHP and EhCoactosin transcripts in the GFP-PHBrk-expressing cells was not due to the presence of episomal DNA or the application of selection. These expression data support the connection between PI3K signaling and EhCoactosin and SREHP.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we utilized a functional genomics approach to identify genes that may directly or indirectly function in the PI3K pathway in E. histolytica. We uncovered at least one gene, a Rap GTPase, that participates in PI3K signaling in other systems. Our study also identified several genes not previously identified as partners in PI3K signaling, including SREHP and a coactosin-like protein, EhCoactosin. A mutant cell line with an increased level of PI(3,4,5)P₃, the product of PI3K activity, exhibited increased expression of SREHP and EhCoactosin. This supports the functional connection between these proteins and PI3K in E. histolytica. The assignment of SREHP and EhCoactosin as partners operating with PI3K signaling is novel.

Although not examined in detail, the enrichment of the EhRap2-coding gene in our screen was intriguing. E. histolytica possesses two Rap isoforms, EhRap1 and EhRap2, which share over 91% identity (52). EhRap2 shares at least 60% identity with Rap1 proteins from other systems and at least 50% identity with Rap2 proteins from other systems. Like other Rap1 proteins (41, 53), EhRap2 localizes to phagosomes (54). In platelets, PI3K can activate Rap1 (54, 55). In Dictyostelium discoideum, Rap1 interacts with the Ras-binding domain of PI3K to modulate cell polarity and pseudopod formation (42). EhRap2 possesses all of the effector binding domains and switch I and switch II regions (data not shown) that are necessary for interaction with PI3K (reviewed in references 56 and 57). Since, in E. histolytica, EhRap2 (54), PI3K (36), and the products of PI3K (8–10) localize to phagosomes, and since EhRap2 was highly enriched among the wortmannin-se-
lected population (this study), it is reasonable to hypothesize that similar local EhRap2/P13K associations exist.

In our study, the cDNA encoding ehapt2 was also highly enriched in the wortmannin-selected population. The genome of *E. histolytica* contains non-long terminal repeat (non-LTR) retrotransposons (58, 59). These include the long interspersed repetitive elements (LINEs) and the short interspersed repetitive elements (SINEs). Ehapt2 is a member of the EhSINE family of retrotransposable elements. Ehapt2 is present in the vicinity of protein-coding genes (60) and may influence the expression of such genes. Although Yadav et al. (61) reported that laboratory strains of *E. histolytica* may be retrotransposition incompatible, discerning the genomic position of ehapt2 in trophozoites that are less sensitive to wortmannin is necessary to fully understand the connection, if any, to P13K signaling.

Several hypothetical protein-coding genes were also isolated in our screen. One such protein, H644 (XM_644144.2), was previously identified in a screen for negative regulators of phagocytosis (24). Overexpression of H644 strongly reduced phagocytosis of human red blood cells by *E. histolytica* (24). A second hypothetical protein (XM_650280.2) contained an N-terminal Bin-Amphiphsyn-Rvs (BAR) superfamily domain and a C-terminal SH3 domain. BAR domain proteins are evolutionarily conserved and bind to the plasma membrane. Specifically, BAR domains induce, stabilize, or detect membrane curvatures and facilitate the interaction between the plasma membrane and the underlying cytoskeleton (62). Interestingly, H644 possesses a significant number of putative phosphorylation sites (24), and many BAR domain-containing proteins possess SH3 domains (63, 64). Thus, these hypothetical proteins may be authentic signaling molecules, and their identification in our screen may not be fortuitous.

Several ribosomal protein-coding genes were highly enriched in our screen. These may or may not be authentic participants in P13K signaling. In a screen of yeast deletion mutants for wortmannin sensitivity, 119 of the 1,067 genes, including several ribosomal protein-coding genes, were functionally characterized to be involved in protein synthesis (25). Therefore, it is possible that in our study, overexpression of ribosomal proteins has a direct or indirect connection to P13K-based signaling.

The most highly enriched cDNA in our screen was EhCoactosin. Coactosin, an F-actin binding protein, was first isolated from the actin-myosin complex of *Dictyostelium discoideum* (45). Subsequently, coactosin-like proteins (CLPs) have been identified in other systems (46, 65, 66). Coactosin contains an actin depolymerizing factor homology (ADF-H) domain, a structurally conserved motif that allows proteins to interact with actin and to remodel the actin cytoskeleton (reviewed in reference 67). In general, coactosins only bind F-actin (46, 65, 68). With the exception of *D. discoideum* coactosin, which weakly interferes with barbed-end capping of actin filaments (68), coactosins do not seem to promote actin filament elongation or disassembly. It has been suggested that, in vivo, coactosins regulate actin dynamics as a member of a complex with other proteins (69). EhCoactosin possesses an ADF-H domain; however, it remains to be seen if it binds actin and if it has additional interacting protein partners.

Coactosin has been implicated in cell motility. In *D. discoideum*, coactosin is concentrated in pseudopods (45). In developing chick embryos, coactosin is expressed in migrating neural crest cells (46). Additionally, the levels of coactosin mRNA are higher in motile and migrating cells. For example, in *D. discoideum*, coac-
study also illustrates the utility of full-genome functional genetic screens in this parasite. Our screen was based on a simple phenotype, cell viability. Predictably, future forward and reverse genetic screens, based on more complex phenotypes, are likely to lead to the functional annotation of many genes in this organism. This will, undoubtedly, reveal new targets for the development of vaccines or therapies against *E. histolytica* infection.
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